

**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT,
FORENSICS, AND TRAINING ENVIRONMENTAL CRIME**

The following NEIC employees contributed to this paper.

**Earl W. Beam, MA
Dorothy E. Biggs, MLS
Willis Collins, Jr., BS
Margo R. Dusenbury, BS
Phoebe P. MacLeish, BA
K. Eric Nottingham, BS
Don J. Smith, BS
Jennifer A. Suggs, MS**

Library assistance provided by:

**Gitanjali Passfield, Contractor (Vistronix)
Nancy B. Greer, Contractor (Vistronix)**

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:

**Jennifer A. Suggs
EPA-NEIC
Bldg. 53, Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225**

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AA_B Atomic Absorption
A2LA_B American Association for Laboratory Accreditation
AAAS_B American Association for the Advancement of Science
ACS_B American Chemical Society
AES_B Atomic Emission Spectroscopy
AMTIC_B Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center
APHA_B American Public Health Association
ASCLD/LAB_B American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board
ASE_B Accelerated Solvent Extraction
ASTM_B American Society for Testing and Materials
AWWA_B American Water Works Association
CA_B Chemical Abstracts
CAA_B Clean Air Act
CAS_B Chemical Abstracts Service
CASE_B Court Appointed Scientific Experts
CE_B Capillary Electrophoresis
CERCLA_B Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CERCLIS_B Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
CFR_B Code of Federal Regulations
CID_B Criminal Investigation Division
CLU-IN_B Hazardous Waste Clean-Up Information (Internet site)
CWA_B Clean Water Act
DSMS_B Direct Sampling Mass Spectrometry
EMC_B Emissions Measurement Center
ETV_B Environmental Technology Verification Program
FATE_B Field Analytic Technologies Encyclopedia
FFDCA_B Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
FIFRA_B Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act
FPXRF_B Field-Portable X-Ray Fluorescence
FQPA_B Food Quality Protection Act
GC_B Gas Chromatography
GC-MS_B Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry
GC-AED_B Gas Chromatography with Atomic Emission Detection
GC-FTIR_B Gas Chromatography with Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometry
GIS_B Geographic Information Systems
GPO_B Government Printing Office
HPLC_B High Performance Liquid Chromatography
IC_B Ion Chromatography
ICP_B Inductively Coupled Plasma
ICP-AES_B Inductively Coupled Plasma with Atomic Emission Spectrometry
ICP-MS_B Inductively Coupled Plasma with Mass Spectrometry

ICP-OES_B Inductively Coupled Plasma with Optical Emission Spectrometry
IMS_B Ion Mobility Spectrometry
IR_B Infrared
LC-MS_B Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry
MAE_B Microwave Assisted Extraction
MS_B Mass Spectrometry
NEIC_B National Enforcement Investigations Center
NELAC_B National Environmental Laboratory Accrediting Conference
NELAP_B National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
NFSTC_B National Forensic Science Technology Center
NTIS_B National Technical Information Service
NVLAP_B National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program
OCEFT_B Office of Criminal Enforcement, Forensics and Training
OIG_B Office of Inspector General
PFE_B Pressurized Fluid Extraction
PLE_B Pressurized Liquid Extraction
PLM_B Polarized Light Microscopy
PPE_B Personal Protective Equipment
QA_B Quality Assurance
QC_B Quality Control
RCRA_B Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCRAInfo_B Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information System
SEC_B Securities and Exchange Commission
SFE_B Supercritical Fluid Extraction
SPE_B Solid Phase Extraction
SPME_B Solid Phase Microextraction
SW-846_B Test Methods for Solid Waste
TIO_B Technology Innovation Office
TSCA_B Toxic Substances Control Act
TTN_B Technology Transfer Network
UMEA_B Ultramicroelectrode Arrays
UNEP_B United Nations Environment Programme
US_B United States
USEPA_B United States Environmental Protection Agency
UV_B Ultraviolet
VOC_B Volatile Organic Compound
XRF_B X-Ray Fluorescence
XRS_B X-Ray Spectroscopy

INTRODUCTION

This report is a compilation of information and advances in scientific and technical methods as applied to environmental crime investigations. Sections on information gathering and planning prior to a forensic investigation, evidence gathering techniques and field methods, tools of the investigation, laboratory methods and instrumentation, and examples of laboratory fraud are included. The planning section is a guide for the topics and the section outlines the thought process and flow of events in an environmental crime investigation.

Literature of particular interest or with foundational reviews regarding methods, instrumentation, or techniques has been included in the search for current methods and upcoming trends in this field. This arena of environmental crime is expansive and lists of additional resources (both print and electronic) have been provided in the appendix to aid the researcher who may require more information than the text of this paper provides. A list of acronyms used in this paper is included after the table of contents.

Some commercial products, firms, or trade names may be mentioned. The mention of such does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation of those specific products or companies by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) or the USEPA National Enforcement Investigations Center (NEIC). Names of this type mentioned within the text are intended solely as an aid to the reader within the context of the topic. Many of the Internet sites referenced do not have any connection with the USEPA and are not under any editorial control by the USEPA.

The United States federal laws and the methods approved for use by the USEPA provide the frame of reference for the points of view expressed in this paper. This perspective and many of the issues and legal considerations that are dealt with in the United States are presented to aid in understanding the concerns relevant to environmental enforcement in the US. Each nation has their own sovereignty with regard to environmental regulations and environmental crimes. The methods used and types of environmental pollution control approaches will differ from nation to nation and the viewpoints presented in this paper are not intended as a standard for all to follow.

The purpose of this paper is to share general information on the enforcement of environmental laws within the law enforcement community. It does not set any absolute requirements under any legal system nor does it create any rights, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by any party to litigation. In addition to literature references, this paper includes practical information developed by NEIC over several years of investigating environmental criminal cases. This information may or may not apply in any specific case. There are many ways of approaching any environmental problem.

Common environmental crimes in the US include illegal disposal of hazardous waste, unpermitted discharges to sewer systems or surface water, discharge of oil by vessels to waters within US jurisdiction, the misapplication of pesticides, the illegal importation of ozone-depleting substances, data falsification, and laboratory fraud. Federal, state, and sometimes local statutes and regulations are in place to protect the water, air, land, and human health. From a Federal perspective, these include the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) for hazardous wastes, the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) for toxic substances, the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) for abandoned waste sites, and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) for pesticides. Each of these laws contains some standard methods for sampling and analyses to prove environmental crimes.^{1,2} The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains the specific requirements of the laws.^{3,4} Within the USEPA, the Criminal Investigation Division (CID) of the Office of Criminal Enforcement, Forensics and Training (OCEFT) has the responsibility to investigate criminal offenses.⁵

Criminal offenses are more serious in nature than civil violations in the United States. To successfully prosecute an environmental criminal case, the government has to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that a corporation or person knowingly violated an environmental statute containing criminal sanctions. The same analytical objectives of providing good quality data and accurate measurements prevail in both civil and criminal cases; the only distinction between the two types of cases is legal.

ENVIRONMENTAL CRIME SCENE EXAMINATION

INTRODUCTION

A In the fields of observation chance favors only the prepared mind.@⁶

4# Louis Pasteur
Inaugural lecture, University of Lille,
December 7, 1854

Proving environmental cases can be difficult because of technical and legal complexities; penalties vary depending upon the statute and technical issues require ever-increasing knowledge from the investigative team.

The criminal prosecution of environmental crimes in the US is in its infancy. While a few scattered efforts at environmental crime prosecution occurred during the 1970s, the US federal government prosecution of environmental crimes substantially increased in the 1980s. Around that time, environmental laws were being developed or were being enhanced from misdemeanor criminal penalties (any crime punishable by less than 1 year in jail) to felony (any crime punishable by more than 1 year in jail) provisions.

The following are some challenges that must be met by the investigative team.

- 4# Throughout the years our understanding of complex issues involved in environmental crimes, such as chemical fate and transport, has improved because of scientific and technological advances. Increasingly sophisticated information sources and evidence collection tools are available for intelligence gathering, surveillance, field screening and measurements, laboratory analysis, and modeling pollutant behavior in the environment. The investigative team should use these tools effectively.
- 4# All the scientific tools and technological capabilities, along with the resources required to investigate an environmental case, should be singularly focused to prove the allegations. Scientific and technical conclusions then should be presented cogently so a jury can clearly understand that the defendant committed the crime.
- 4# One familiar tactic used by defense attorneys is to confuse the jury with the complexity of the evidence. The more complex the science, the more difficult it is to explain to a jury. Although the evidence exists to prove the case, the defense has a responsibility in the US legal system to represent the client to the best of his/her ability. Defense counsel will often try to convince a jury that a crime was not committed willfully and knowingly because the defendant could not have comprehended what was expected by the regulations.
- 4# Convincing a prosecuting attorney of the importance of an environmental case when he or she has competing, high visibility cases involving murder, drugs, and other high profile crimes, is another challenge.
AEnvironmental crime is real crime; improperly disposed pollutants poison community water supplies, contaminate fisheries, and injure human beings.@⁷

To overcome these challenges, the investigative team should develop legally and technically sound environmental cases, and present the cases in a straightforward manner. Documenting and illustrating to the court the impact to human health and the environment is also important.

PLANNING

The first step in an investigation is understanding what crime was allegedly committed and who was involved. Organizing and planning a case using a team approach is critical, as is communication between team members. Often multiple government agencies are involved with a case making communication more of a challenge. Each team member must have a clear objective and take care to do a thorough job on his/her own piece of the investigation, but interaction with other team members ensures continuity.

In the United States, a lead criminal investigator, commonly referred to as the case agent, is usually in charge of the overall process of investigating an environmental crime. The case agent develops an understanding of allegations of criminal activities, and consults with various witnesses, peers, and experts to decide what evidence can prove the case. A recent book by Drielak titled Environmental Crime: Evidence Gathering and Investigative Techniques describes on-site environmental crime investigations. The author covers issues related to search warrants and techniques used to gather evidence. Many photos from real environmental crime scenes illustrate the topics in the book.⁸

A typical case requires knowledge of many fields within science, technology, and law. The case agent may need team members with knowledge of engineering (chemical processes and technology, field techniques and instrumentation), chemistry (laboratory sample analysis, chemical reactions and processes) and law (environmental regulations). Choosing the appropriate team members and experts will depend on the type of case being pursued and the personnel resources available. The case agent may also require assistance from individuals skilled in gathering background information, seizing computer data, or detecting laboratory fraud.

Experts, either internal or outside the enforcement organization, can be especially valuable when they understand and communicate the interplay between legal requirements, science and technology, and the crime allegedly committed. Experts should not only understand their own field, but should also be able to explain their opinions clearly and openly to other team members, to the prosecuting attorney, and to the jury without bias or condescension.

During the investigative process, a decision is often required about conducting one or more searches for evidence. In the US, evidence must be gathered in accordance with the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and other federal guidelines designed to protect the individual's constitutional rights.⁹ Methods used to obtain evidence of a crime include the use of consent searches, search warrants, and grand jury subpoenas. Sampling that is done on a consensual basis is not recommended because consent can be revoked at any time. If a search warrant is necessary, then the agent should articulate what probable cause exists that a crime has been committed and that the location requested for the search contains the evidence of the crime. The case agent may articulate probable cause through evidence gathered from a variety of sources including witness interviews, surveillance of suspects, use of informants, as well as sampling of suspected discharges from the targeted facility. The agent should anticipate who will be physically present, what samples will be collected, and what documents will be seized. Samples may be collected during criminal investigations to establish probable cause for a search warrant, provide evidence, or both.

Execution of search warrants can require extensive planning. Ongoing communication regarding goals, problems, and objectives, including face-to-face meetings enables the team to work collaboratively to plan the field objectives and make the best use of the limited time available on-site. Generally, warrants are required to be executed during the hours of 6 a.m. to 10 p.m., unless a special situation is involved, such as a chemical release that can only be sampled as the release is occurring.

If releases of regulated pollutants to air, water, or land are suspected and sample evidence is to be collected, then a field team leader should be identified. The field team leader is responsible to ensure that conditions are safe for workers, that the proper evidentiary samples are collected, and that field activities and observations of on-site conditions are documented. Any field measurements must be performed according to accepted procedures, and, as always, must be documented.

Safety of the field team should always take precedence over evidence collection. Safety considerations while conducting a search can include hostile individuals, chemical exposure and/or chemical incompatibility hazards, and work conditions. These may include working under unpleasant and/or toxic conditions, collecting samples or searching in high places (for example, on top of tanks), working around heavy equipment or industrial machinery, or working in confined spaces.

Manufacturing processes should be evaluated in detail to understand how chemicals are produced and what wastes are generated. Industrial waste treatment and management systems often need evaluation in detail. For example, waste tanks and associated piping may require identification to see if there is a connection to a sewer where an alleged release occurred.

The field team leader should maintain contact with laboratory personnel to discuss sampling activities, to ensure that appropriate analytical techniques are available for use, and to ensure that resources are available to complete the analyses within applicable holding times (established time limitations for sample analysis). Multiple laboratories may be needed in situations when both hazardous waste and radionuclides are contaminants of concern. Laboratory personnel should be involved as early as possible in the planning process. The analytical chemist(s) should be familiar with the types of samples being collected, the purpose of each sample in proving the case, and the appropriate analytical methods that can prove the allegations.

Documentation of the analysis may include laboratory notebooks, bench sheets, instrument printouts, instrument calibration, and confirmatory analysis by alternate methods. Expert opinions may be required regarding chemical compounds and the fate and transport of contaminants.

Evidence preservation is a critical step in prosecuting any case and environmental cases are no exception. As part of the Federal Rules of Evidence, it is the burden of the government to prove that any evidence presented in court is authentic. Challenges may be made to every step of the investigative process in an attempt to show that evidence was handled improperly. These steps include sampling, transportation, physical and chemical analysis, and sample storage. If care is not taken to properly preserve evidence and maintain chain of custody in every step of the investigative process, then the evidence may be inadmissible at trial.

The investigative file should contain records of interviews, photographs, video recordings, sketches, field project plans, laboratory quality assurance project plans, correspondence, field notes, chain-of-custody records, calibration records, laboratory bench sheets, reports, and other pertinent records. The case agent should maintain contact with the team members involved in evidence collection. The team members should be informed about the progress of the case and allowed to provide input regarding conclusions about the evidence collected and any limitations on how the evidence is used. In advance of the trial, each person should be contacted about potential testimony so they can prepare.

Two regional offices within the USEPA have prepared manuals on environmental investigations. These are available through the regional Internet sites. Region 4 (offices located in Atlanta, Georgia) has prepared the Environmental Investigations: Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual.¹⁰ It contains information about different types of investigations, forms and recordkeeping, sampling design, quality assurance (QA), and procedures for various sampling media, and an extensive listing of field procedures. Region 10 (offices located in Seattle, Washington) has prepared an online manual, Conducting Environmental Compliance Inspections.¹¹ Inspection, Safety, Legal Considerations, and Evidence are the four main sections of the online manual. A Photo Gallery section includes pictures taken from site inspections. An alphabetized index of the topics from the manual is also provided.

GATHERING INFORMATION

Background information for an environmental crime investigation is available from an extensive and expanding wealth of sources and in many formats. In the information age, a forensic investigator can answer the basic questions of Who?, What?, Where?, How?, When?, and even Why? through research in print and computerized sources.

Using On-line Searches in Investigations,¹² is a seminal article exploring the online research tools available for fact-finding and discusses the pros and cons of commercial database research versus Internet resources. Other publications outlining research resources include The Investigator's Little Black Book which covers topics on experts, organizations, and publications from Accident Reconstruction to Zip Code Information.¹³ Sources of Information for Criminal Investigators, prepared by Anacapa Sciences to support their courses in investigation methods and criminal analysis, is a valuable compilation for information resources.¹⁴ General sources like those mentioned point to specific sources for background information.

The following sources answer the questions of **Who?**, to find out about the corporation or individual(s) suspected of criminal activities, and **Where?**, to locate possible crime scenes and to link together the properties owned by the suspect(s).

- 4# Dun and Bradstreet Services provides business histories, operations, public filings and credit ratings with an international scope. The service also provides access to information on more than 58 million global companies. ¹⁵
- 4# EDGAR, the Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval system, performs automated collection, validation, indexing, acceptance, and forwarding of submissions by companies and others who are required by law to file forms with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). ¹⁶⁻¹⁸
- 4# Directory of Corporate Affiliations is a business information source offering corporate linkage coverage making it a trusted guide to corporate families in the US and worldwide. ¹⁹
- 4# Autotrack and CDB Infotek, database services available from ChoicePoint Company, are US nationwide providers of organized online public record data and other information services that are used to detect fraudulent activity, locate people and assets, and verify information and identities. ²⁰
- 4# *infoUSA* is regarded as a comprehensive and accurate source of business and residential information about nearly 12 million US businesses, 1.1 million Canadian businesses, 125 million US households, and 12 million Canadian households. The company provides information in a variety of formats ranging from CD-ROM and Internet access to printed materials. ²¹
- 4# Additional information about the crime scene can be obtained using Geographic Information Systems (GIS). These systems are a powerful tool in assembling and evaluating multiple information sources with respect to a particular site. Maps can be prepared depicting many layers of data such as buildings, surface water bodies, soil types, utilities and sewers, and other pertinent features. The maps can provide a graphical representation of site conditions, the surrounding environment, and potential receptors (such as a sensitive ecosystem or a school building). ²²⁻²⁵

How?, as in how a particular chemical product or by-product was produced, might be the next question for the investigator. Based on known facility and business descriptions, other resources can be tapped to learn about the processes, raw materials, and waste streams that may be on a site.

- 4# Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology is a library of information about the chemical industry. The latest version of this 27-volume encyclopedia is now complete and includes CAS registry numbers and in-depth information on regulations, patents, and licensing. Articles primarily focus on chemical substances or industrial processes. ²⁶

- ⁴# Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry is a significant source of information on chemical industries. This encyclopedia has a 40-volume print set that covers the basics of theoretical principles and fundamentals of chemical engineering. It also presents practical knowledge of unit operations and plant construction with specific company examples. A newer electronic edition is also available.²⁷
- 4# The USEPA's Office of Water has published a series titled **Development Document for Final Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards** about many industries. Included in this series are the Landfills Point Source Category, the Alkaline Coal Mining Subcategory, the Metal Products and the Machinery Point Source category among others. Diagrams and descriptions of industrial processes are provided in the series.²⁸⁻³⁰ These documents are distributed through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Further details about NTIS are provided in the appendix.
- ⁴# The USEPA's Office of Compliance has developed a series of profiles or Sector Notebooks containing information on selected major industries. Each notebook includes a comprehensive environmental profile, industrial process information, pollution prevention techniques, pollutant release data, regulatory requirements, contact names, compliance and enforcement history, and bibliographic references.³¹

Before beginning the on-site investigation, the investigator should explore **What?** chemicals might be found at the site and the potential risks posed by those chemicals. Many resources can provide this information. Recent articles point to sources of toxicological information.³²⁻³⁵ Some sources are available on the Internet at no cost.

- ⁴# **Global Information Network on Chemicals (GINC)** is a world-wide information network for the safe use of chemicals. This homepage lists useful information sources provided by both international organizations and national institutions collaborating for safe control of chemicals.³⁶
- 4# The **MSDS search Internet site** is a good starting point for locating manufacturers' material safety data sheets (MSDS). MSDS provide detailed information about the hazards of a particular chemical and references to more information about that chemical. An added feature includes links to translation services that provide MSDS in many languages.³⁷

- 4# Also available on the Internet, but for a fee, is the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS). CAS is the producer of the world's largest and most comprehensive databases of chemical information. The principal databases, Chemical Abstracts (CA) and REGISTRY, now include about 16 million document records and more than 30 million substance records, respectively. CAS also produces databases of chemical reactions, commercially available chemicals, listed regulated chemicals, and compounds claimed in patents.³⁸ An overview of forensic techniques that answer the question of "When?" is presented in a recent article by Morrison.³⁹ The techniques for age-dating include corrosion models for underground storage tanks, commercial availability of a chemical, stable isotope analysis, degradation models, biomarkers, and contaminant transport models.
- 4# The Integrated Model Evaluation System (IMES) is an interactive system for selecting fate models (air, surface water, groundwater and multimedia) most appropriate to the needs of an exposure assessor. It includes information on over 100 models that address items such as the input requirements, level of detail, required user expertise, applications, and validation. IMES is distributed on a CD-ROM that includes many of the fate models and manuals.⁴⁰⁻⁴²
- 4# The Environmental Fate Data Base (EFDB) was developed under the sponsorship of the USEPA to allow rapid access to all available fate data on a chemical and to provide a data source for constructing structure-activity correlations for degradability and transport of chemicals in the environment. The EFDB is a tremendous aid in identifying persistent chemical classes as well as the physical or chemical properties that may correlate to particular behavior in the environment. The EFDB is composed of several interrelated files: BDATALOG, CHEMFATE, BIOLOG, and BIODEG. These databases share a CAS number file containing over 20,000 chemicals with preferred name and formula and a bibliographic file containing full references on over 35,000 cited articles.⁴³

"Why?" is a more difficult question to research, but the motivation behind an environmental crime may be indicated in financial information sources and in a review of the suspect's compliance history.

- 4# The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) of the US Department of Treasury supports law enforcement investigative efforts and fosters interagency and global cooperation against domestic and international financial crimes. FinCEN is a network linked between law enforcement, financial, and regulatory communities. FinCEN strives to work with its domestic and international partners to maximize the information sharing network and find new ways to prevent and detect financial crime.⁴⁴

- 4# The USEPA created the Envirofacts Warehouse to provide the public with direct access to the wealth of information contained in the Envirofacts databases. The Envirofacts Warehouse enables searches on a company or facility name to retrieve environmental information from across USEPA databases (such as the Toxic Release Inventory, RCRAInfo, and CERCLIS). A USEPA-internal version of Envirofacts allows retrieval of compliance data as well.⁴⁵ Although not a comprehensive listing, this overview of information sources for environmental crime enforcement would be incomplete without the following references to important information systems.
- 4# LEXIS/NEXIS and Westlaw are two commercial, computerized legal research systems which provide access to case decisions and other public records. These records show the legal histories of companies and individuals as well as the statutory and regulatory issues. Easily accessed online, these systems also produce traditional print products. NEXIS provides many full-text newspapers, journals, financial reports and news wires from around the world.^{46,47}
- 4# DIALOG is a potent information retrieval service providing access to more than 600 databases spanning business, science, and technology. Many of these databases provide full-text online.⁴⁸
- 4# UNEP-Infoterra is an international environmental referral and research network made up of 177 countries coordinated by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) in Nairobi, Kenya. The US National Focal Point for UNEP-Infoterra is located at the USEPA Headquarters Library and is managed by the Office of Environmental Information. The services offered by UNEP-Infoterra/USA include document delivery, database searching, bibliographic products, purchasing information, and referrals to experts.⁴⁹
- 4# INTERPOL, the International Criminal Police Organization, has an Environmental Crimes Committee to combat environmental crime. Member nations fill out "Eco Messages" on international environmental crimes for INTERPOL. These messages are compiled in a database, analyzed, and circulated to other member nations for the purpose of coordinating international law enforcement in the fight against environmental crime.⁵⁰

GATHERING EVIDENCE

Evidence gathered at a crime site typically involves interviews, visual observations, measurements, samples, paper documents and records, and electronic data. The following sections describe techniques and sources of information with respect to field measurements, sampling, and retrieving electronic data. Interviewing techniques will not be covered in detail.

Field work is always a challenge; regardless of the amount of advance preparation, the unexpected can happen. The team should anticipate and plan for many potential issues and problems commonly encountered during the execution of a search warrant. Environmental crime search warrants often entail unique challenges such as chemical exposure risks and safety issues. Heavy equipment may be needed; unknown chemicals may be present; large quantities of waste may be involved; and the terrain, temperature, or weather may make sampling more difficult. To minimize the impact of the unexpected, a detailed plan should be developed and discussed by the team. The plan should be detailed in writing to the extent possible, based on available information, and should evolve as new information becomes available.

Field Techniques and Equipment

An array of knowledge regarding techniques, tools, instrumentation, and sampling in the field can be obtained from a few key sites on the Internet,.

The following Internet sites have numerous links to field information.

- ^{4#} USEPA=s Technology Innovation Office (TIO) homepage contains links to publications that can be viewed, downloaded, or ordered. There is a section for site characterization that includes information on technology, tools, and educational materials. Also available are links to international updates (conferences, newsletters, Internet sites) and to another TIO site that is abbreviated as CLU-IN.⁵¹
- ^{4#} The Hazardous Waste Clean-Up Information site, also known as CLU-IN, has documents, reports, videos, and software on various topics related to methods, techniques, and developing technologies. All these are ready to be downloaded by the user. Options available on the site include the opportunity to sign up for e-mail newsletters that highlight new publications and events of interest to site assessment and site remediation professionals. Users can also register for live Internet events. Online seminars with a web-based slide presentation and options for accessing the audio portion of the presentation.⁵² One of the best sections of this website is the Field Analytic Technologies Encyclopedia (FATE). The training modules in FATE cover geophysical, organic chemical, and inorganic chemical characterization techniques and data interpretation. The modules also include information about work plans and data quality objectives. Most of these modules are presented on-screen as a slide-show presentation with notes for each slide. Supplemental information and additional sources for information are spread generously throughout the training program.⁵³ The analytics listing in the technologies section has links to the description, theory of operation, target analytes, performance specifications, limitations, cost data, and vendors of particular technologies.⁵⁴ A free seminar on systematic planning and innovative field measurement technologies is available on the CLU-IN site. Users can download the seminar (including the speaker=s notes) in one of three different formats.⁵⁵

^{4#} USEPA REACH IT (abbreviation for REmediation And CHaracterization Innovative Technologies) has an online searchable database of innovative and conventional technologies for characterizing or treating hazardous waste sites. The search function guides the user through questions regarding the contaminant group of interest, the type of media involved, and the technological scale desired. Details provided on the technology include a description, verification information, uses by media type, technical support needed, and a cost analysis. A vendor database allows the user to search for companies that provide specific technologies and gives the vendor's Internet site, if available.⁵⁶

4# ETV, the USEPA's Environmental Technology Verification Program, was established to verify the performance and help accelerate the use of new environmental. On this site, verified technologies are listed by media and by specific pilot programs. Each technology item includes a verification statement and report. Links to the Internet sites of project partners, USEPA sites, associations, and international sites are also included.⁵⁷

An easy-to-use and informative guide on field sampling and analysis is located on the Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable Internet site.⁵⁸ Two field sampling and analysis guides exist on the site. One guide covers field sampling and collection techniques with information on tools and extraction methods cross-referenced with analytes, type of sample media, and technology details. The tools and extraction methods have links to specific details such as a basic description, limitations, and any applicable ASTM standards or USEPA methods.⁵⁹ The other guide is a matrix that cross-references sample analysis tools (techniques or instrumentation) to analytes, sample media, susceptibility to interference, detection limits, and more. Just like the other matrix, the analysis tools have links to more information. The additional details that can be obtained include description of the technique or instrument, the applicable uses, the turnaround time per sample, the cost per analysis, limitations, and any applicable ASTM standards or USEPA methods.⁶⁰

Drum, et al., recently published a textbook-style handbook to detail analytical tests in the field. The book provides an overview of environmental testing in the first section and divides analytical tests by the type of media in the rest of the book. Step-by-step instructions, diagrams, and question-and-answer sections are included for each analyte.⁶¹

Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology, a periodical published by John Wiley & Sons, regularly features papers in categories of ATechnology Reviews,@ AApplication Reviews,@ and AOutfield Reports.@⁶² These reviews and reports are specifically requested by the journal editors when readers ask for articles on a particular subject. AOutfield Reports@ describe multi-disciplinary teams involved in significant field analytical projects. Some issues are dedicated to a single topic such as the 1999 issue on Open-Path Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (Volume 3, Issue 2).

Recent papers that discuss developments or trends in field work were searched in the literature. The papers have been categorized into the topics below.

Field Analytical Chemistry

- 4# Substantial review paper from 1997; topics covered include portable instrumentation, sensors, mass spectrometry, gas chromatography, field methods and applications, and immunochemical techniques ⁶³
- 4# Outfield report on work plans and field analysis for hazardous waste site investigations; cost comparisons of traditional and dynamic field investigations; site application examples ⁶⁴
- 4# Technology review of trends and advances in field-portable analytical instrumentation; outlines operational characteristics that make a fieldable analytical instrument; a list of past, present, and future field analytical technologies ⁶⁵

Aerosol Measurement

- 4# Review of literature on laser-induced plasma spectroscopy with emphasis on analysis in gaseous and aerosol phases; technique presented as potential next-generation field portable instrument for characterizing metal species ⁶⁶

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

- 4# Review of specific recent developments for the analysis of VOCs in ambient air and natural waters; includes applications in the field ⁶⁷

Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soils

- 4# Technology review on direct-push fluorescence-based sensors for determining subsurface chemical contaminants; different systems and configurations presented ⁶⁸

Atomic Spectroscopy

Review with emphasis on field-portable atomic spectrometry; includes a table of selected applications of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) with elements, matrices, and limits of detection ⁶⁹

Biosensors

- 4# Technology review of biosensors and the potential for use in screening and monitoring; list of analytes and biosensors provided ⁷⁰

Field Portable X-Ray Fluorescence (FPXRF) Spectrometry

- 4# Technology review of FPXRF; evolution and characteristic features of the field-portable XRF analyzer ⁷¹
- 4# FPXRF analysis of environmental samples; tables with list of available instrumentation and vendors and a comparison of calibration methods are included ⁷²
- 4# Application of FPXRF from soil and sediment metals analysis to filters used in air monitoring ⁷³

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

- 4# Technology review of advances in field-portable GC-MS; discussion and pictures of vehicle-portable and man-portable systems ⁷⁴

- 4# **Outfield report on fast on-site analysis of hazardous emissions from fires and chemical accidents; details on equipment, sampling and sample preparation, and applications; many figures of procedures and techniques are included** ⁷⁵
- 4# **Mini-review on fast field screening of contaminated areas; overview of analytical methods for mobile GC-MS systems** ⁷⁶
- 4# **Outfield report overviewing GC-MS uses; includes sections on environmental analyses by type of sample media** ⁷⁷
- 4# **Outfield report on a modular laboratory designed for travel; list and diagram of system components** ⁷⁸

Infrared (IR) analysis

- 4# **Portable IR analyzer for low-level hydrocarbon vapors; near real-time distribution data included** ⁷⁹

Ion Chromatography (IC)

- 4# **Schematic layout and detailed discussion on a field-portable capillary ion chromatograph** ⁸⁰

Ion Mobility Spectrometry (IMS)

- 4# **Technology review on capabilities and limitations of IMS for field screening uses; includes details on different spectrometers, detection limits for some pollutants, and future developments** ⁸¹

Mass Spectrometry (MS)

- 4# **Technology review of direct sampling mass spectrometry (DSMS) in analysis of environmental samples; discussion of instrumentation, portability, analytical methods, and environmental applications** ⁸²

Ultramicroelectrode Arrays (UMEAs)

- 4# **Review on UMEAs with emphasis on the determination of heavy metals in environmental samples; a table of analytes and media is provided** ⁸³

When assisting in the execution of a search warrant, the field team should ensure that the evidence collected is authorized by that warrant. ⁸⁴ Each person collecting evidence can ultimately be called as a witness later. When established procedures are used to collect evidence, it is often easier to defend the scientific reliability and legal acceptability of the procedures. If field conditions necessitate deviation from standard procedures, then the reasons should be documented. Testimony typically occurs long after field work is complete and many details about the field work must be recalled. Good documentation will make that task easier for field team members.

Once the field work begins, the crime scene should be secured, checked carefully for safety hazards, and documented using photography, video recordings, and extensive written notes. Prior evaluation of manufacturing processes and waste management procedures will provide information needed for finalizing the on-site sampling decisions. Often before sampling, a chemical hot zone[@] is established and delineated. Everyone permitted into the area should have current safety training and the appropriate level of personal protective equipment (for example, chemical resistant suits, hard hats, gloves, etc.).

When possible, field measurements should be performed according to accepted procedures, and be well documented. Field monitoring and measurement equipment should be maintained, calibrated, and periodically checked to ensure the equipment is working properly. All these equipment actions should be recorded (even equipment maintenance that occurred prior to entering the site). In the US, chain of custody is usually required for any item that will be introduced in legal proceedings. Interviews should be recorded along with other field activities such as sampling and environmental measurements. Marking, labeling, preservation (if appropriate) of environmental and waste samples, shipping, and observance of any applicable quarantines (for example, certain soils or vegetation shipped across geographical boundaries) should all be part of the permanent record of the site visit.

Sampling

Sampling evidence can be divided into categories in many ways. One common way is by the contaminants released, such as volatile compounds, toxic materials, or heavy metals. Another is by the media into which the contaminant is released, such as soil, air, surface water, or groundwater. Yet another way of categorizing waste is by the location at which the sample is taken (e.g., inside a storage area, in a tank, or in a river, either upstream or downstream from a site). The sampling approach depends upon which combination of categories the sample falls into; for example, a sample may be for volatile organic compound analysis in water removed downstream from a plant.

Sampling equipment can be as simple as a shovel and as sophisticated as a computer-controlled robotic sampling device. Specialized sampling devices are available for many situations. The device selected should be appropriate to the material being sampled. Each sampling device should be inert to the material being sampled. Devices and equipment that are used more than once are often cleaned in advance and decontaminated between uses. Disposable sampling devices are sometimes the best choice. Sample containers should be from a known source, inert to the material being collected, and free of any interferences to later sample analysis. Enough sizes and types of containers should be available in the field to collect an adequate sample volume to perform the required analysis and quality control measures. How samples will be packed, secured (chain of custody) as evidence, and transported to the laboratory for analysis should be considered before arriving on a site.

Sample records can include the source of any sample containers used, including information on the company name, the lot number, the types of containers (including the size, color, and construction materials), and any tamper-proof seals used. It is often standard procedure to wear gloves while collecting samples and to change gloves between each sample to avoid cross contamination.

Details regarding types of sample containers, preservation techniques, and holding times suggested by the USEPA can be found with the analytical test methods. Chapters 2, 3, and 4 within the Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (otherwise known as SW-846) each contain useful tables with sampling information.⁸⁵

Environment Canada has two extensive guides for field personnel when site investigation or sampling is necessary. The Inspector=s Safety Guide provides details on protective equipment, hazardous substances, and potential hazards when inspecting industrial sites.⁸⁶ The industrial hazards section includes chemical hazards, safety precautions, emergency response actions, and other extremely valuable information specifically targeted to each industry type listed. The Inspector=s Field Sampling Manual offers a full guide for sampling from early planning stages (data quality objectives, equipment and sampling plan checklists, quality assurance and quality control) to on-site actions (sampling protocol for specific media and laboratory tests) to the shipment of samples.⁸⁷

The CLU-IN Internet site, mentioned in field techniques section, also includes a few documents on sampling in addition to field materials.⁸⁸ An American Chemical Society (ACS) book on environmental sampling contains chapters on sample design, quality assurance and quality control, and sampling for specific media. The methods mentioned in the book primarily refer to USEPA methods.⁸⁹

Many USEPA publications and Internet sites provide guidance for planning environmental sampling work. Chapters 9 and 10 within SW-846 cover sampling plans and sampling methods.⁸⁵ A USEPA guidance document on Choosing a Sampling Design for Environmental Data Collection has been prepared and is available to the public, but it is undergoing peer review.⁹⁰

A useful reference for soil sampling is the USEPA field pocket guide Description and Sampling of Contaminated Soils.⁹¹ The American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) has an extensive book of standards for environmental sampling with sampling information for a variety of media (soil, water, waste, air, and biological).⁹² Standards include terminology, diagrams, material and apparatus lists, calculations, procedures, and numerous references. Also included is a standard on QA/QC for sampling activities. Many of these standards are referenced in USEPA=s regulations.

Samples should be collected using procedures specified in the corresponding regulations and regulatory guidance, if possible. Quality assurance issues and the statistical significance behind the sampling plan can be crucial to decision-making in environmental forensics. Having a statistician or another individual who understands both field and laboratory sampling issues on staff or available for consultation is highly recommended.

The purpose of each sample should be considered carefully. Some US regulations require that samples be representative of the waste. The meaning of representative is sometimes defined in the regulation. These definitions vary between the different regulations. Understanding the role of each sample in proving the overall case is important. A sufficient number of samples should be collected from the appropriate areas to ensure that meaningful scientific statements can be made about the sampling target. Statistical calculations may be used to determine sample size and sample quantity needed.

Many statistical references and guides for environmental sampling exist. These cover the quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) aspects of sampling, sampling theories, and examples of statistics used in environmental pollution studies.⁹³⁻⁹⁸ A current USEPA guidance document on data quality assessment provides an overview of statistical tests.⁹⁹

A simple and efficient generic guide to sampling survey designs has been provided by the Energy and Environmental Division of the American Society for Quality. In it, a logical order of steps in a sampling plan is presented along with explanations of key terms, issues, and a good summary of responsibilities for the scientific disciplines involved. The guide also includes information on quality control (QC) measures such as field blanks and equipment blanks and the logic behind taking blanks.¹⁰⁰

The samples are often stored (preferably in a locked, initialed container) in a secure location under the control of the sampler. Samples should be maintained at temperatures appropriate for the type of samples taken and for the analytical techniques that will be used for testing. Wastes generated during the sampling should be properly disposed according to applicable regulations. Decontamination procedures are often performed on sampling equipment to avoid spreading contamination outside the hot zone and to allow the equipment to be reused. Split samples are often collected to allow the defense to conduct an independent laboratory analysis.

Each sample container should be marked with a discrete identification number for tracking purposes. This can be done using numbered tags or bar codes. The lid of the container, as well as the container itself, should be marked with the sample location. Preservative should be added to the samples, if appropriate (many waste samples do not require preservation).

Samples should be shipped as soon as possible within any applicable analytical holding times.¹⁰¹ The samples are required to be packaged for shipment according to applicable regulations and in a manner that protects the containers from breaking or leaking. Samples of waste and contaminated environmental samples should be stored and shipped in separate containers from background samples to avoid cross contamination. Incompatibility of chemicals in waste samples must also be considered to avoid chemical reactions.

Restrictions for shipment of dangerous goods have been established by the International Air Transport Association in the Dangerous Goods Regulations Manual.¹⁰² Restrictions may differ between airlines and countries for some hazardous items. Additionally, the US Department of Transportation has Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR 100-185) covering all modes of shipping hazardous materials (ground, sea, rail, and air).¹⁰³

As samples are collected, the laboratory should be contacted from the field. The number of samples collected and when the samples are expected to arrive in the laboratory should be discussed. A specific person or persons should be identified to receive the samples in order to maintain a planned chain of custody.

Chain-of-custody records usually include a standard form documenting the delivery and the receipt of each sample. Personnel handling the samples are recorded from the initial contact at the crime scene through each sample transfer until the samples are received in the laboratory.¹⁰⁴ Under chain-of-custody procedures, samples are to be under the control of the investigative team at all times. The location of each sample, from the time of sample collection through the time of laboratory analysis, is documented.

Electronic Evidence

The proliferation of computers in work and personal environments has been seen during the last 20 years. It comes as no surprise that computer forensics plays a part in environmental crime investigations. As discussed by Devaney, Lee, and Topper, the new high-tech area of computer forensics is being deployed by the USEPA Criminal Investigation Division in the investigation of environmental crimes.¹⁰⁵

The environmental protection framework established in the United States leaves open a few areas of concern for computer forensic experts. Large portions of all compliance monitoring is done on a self-monitoring basis. A vast amount of self-monitoring data is being generated by and stored on computers in either a laboratory environment or by computerized environmental monitoring equipment. Continuous emissions monitoring (CEM) systems are an example of the latter. These computerized devices are installed in power plants to take direct measurements of gases or particulate matter. After the computer converts these measurements to the units of the emissions standard, the information can be sent automatically to the responsible regulatory agency. A similar computerized database and reporting system is encountered in leak detection and repair (LDAR) investigations at refineries. These systems monitor fugitive emissions from the various valves, flanges, and pumps at the plant. While LDAR is primarily evaluated during civil environmental investigations, the job of the computer forensic analyst is the same in the CEM and LDAR examples. To obtain the database or data that is generated during monitoring, analyze the data, and compare the results to the reports submitted to the regulatory agency.

A developing area of environmental crime investigations involves laboratory fraud. Laboratory fraud is the deliberate misrepresentation of analytical results. This misrepresented data is often submitted to government agencies for regulatory determinations. In any laboratory setting, there can be a considerable variety of computers and computerized systems connected to laboratory instrumentation. These systems are capable of producing data ranging from raw data directly from the instrument to reduced data in the form of a report for a specific analysis. For this type of investigation, specialized knowledge of the operating systems and programs can be an invaluable aid in determining if fraud occurred.

The means of acquiring, securing, and analyzing computer evidence can vary. A traditional approach is to train investigators in computer forensic techniques. But because of the constantly changing technology in the computing industry, it is almost impossible for an investigator (who has many responsibilities in investigations) to keep up with the ever-changing technology. Another approach to this problem is to have a team of computer specialists work as fact-gatherers (seizing evidence) for the criminal investigator. Eoghan Casey highlights this cooperative approach between law enforcement, attorneys, and computer professionals in a recent book.¹⁰⁶

Guidance for handling electronic evidence has been published by the US Department of Justice.¹⁰⁷ Two useful handbooks, one by Stephenson and an older one by Wilding, present the skills needed to assist in an investigation using the basic computer forensic concepts of preserving, collecting, and searching for evidence.^{108,109} A book by Sammes and Jenkinson emphasizes four principles of handling computer evidence that can be a helpful guide for investigations.¹¹⁰

Additional problem areas, such as reverse engineering and internal data security issues, should be addressed by computer forensic analysts prior to pursuing an environmental crime investigation.¹⁰⁵ Reverse engineering involves understanding the code for a computer program and using that knowledge to determine if the computer system can perform properly for its designed function. This type of study can ascertain if the results being produced and reported are reliable and are actually the true results or if the code contains an error that may lead to false results.

Data security issues within a company can become serious when investigators try to determine if a suspected chemical release was accidental, a deliberate release, the action of a disgruntled employee, or the result of a computer hacker tampering with an automated system. These security questions can also apply to automated reporting systems that transfer data directly to a regulatory authority. Discovering if computer systems are performing accurately and effectively, or if an environmental incident indicates a larger problem related to computer security issues, may require working with industry.

LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

METHODS AND METHOD SELECTION

The programs within the USEPA have been distinguished by the types of sample matrix or media (air, water, or waste). These media-specific offices have issued or adopted a variety of methods, method manuals, and analytical requirements. Some of the most frequently used include the Test Methods for Solid Waste (referred to as SW-846), Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (often abbreviated as 40 CFR), and the American Water Works Association (AWWA) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. These testing methods are designed specifically for certain media, but may be used by multiple USEPA programs. Method validation has become an important focus for laboratories. The need for laboratories to use fully validated analytical methods is discussed by Wood.¹¹¹ The first step in reaching a full validation procedure[@] is identifying the analytical problem, any requirements of the customer, what is feasible for the laboratory (analytically and economically possible), and any other specific requirements. A few questions that could be addressed by a validation plan are listed below.

- 4# When is the method going to be used? (Official controls and in-house process control methods may have to fulfill different criteria on precision and accuracy.)
- 4# What type of answer is required? Qualitative or quantitative?
- 4# In what state is the analyte (bound or free)?

Wood provides details on requirements for method validation through collaborative trials and for methods validated in-house.^{@ 111}

An early and basic guide to QA issues in the laboratory including analytical methods is provided by Dux.¹¹² Funk and co-workers provide a 4-phase model of analytical quality assurance[@] in their book. The phase model takes an analytical procedure from early development, to routine laboratory use, to external examination of the procedure.¹¹³

Another QA book focusing more on environmental monitoring and instrumental methods and techniques (for example, solid phase extraction, ICP-OES techniques, and capillary electrophoresis are included) has been compiled by Subramanian.¹¹⁴ Details about the QA/QC needed for the analysis of airborne particles are presented by both Hopke and Biegalski in their chapters in a recent book.^{115,116}

Berger, et al., compiled an impressive reference guide titled Environmental Laboratory Data Evaluation. This loose-leaf notebook divides into sections on scientifically valid data and overviews of many US environmental regulations. Within these overviews, methods are broken down into initial QC requirements and QC to be performed during the analysis.¹¹⁷

Eurachem, a network of organizations in Europe established to promote traceability and good quality practices, has some free, downloadable guides on quality in the laboratory. Many guides have been translated into several languages. Included in the titles are *The Fitness and Purpose of Analytical Methods: A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics*, *Quality Assurance for Research and Development and Non-routine Analysis*, and *Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurements*.¹¹⁸

Analysts should be aware of potential problems with individual methods and review this information carefully before choosing or modifying analytical procedures. QC procedures should be used by the analyst(s) to evaluate if the choice of analytical procedures and any modifications are appropriate to satisfy the data quality needs of the intended application.⁸⁵

Hazardous and Solid Wastes

The Test Methods for Solid Waste, or SW-846, are used for environmental crimes involving the illegal disposal of hazardous waste. SW-846 is entirely accessible on the Internet.⁸⁵ The table of contents and chapter titles are provided for easy reference. Copies of SW-846 in print and on CD-ROM are also available.¹¹⁹ The CD-ROM version has a helpful analytes-to-method cross-reference table.

Within SW-846, there is guidance for using the analytical methods. Key points developed within this guidance address the flexibility of the methods, the stringency of method-defined parameters, and comparing results obtained from different methods.

The flexibility of the methods allows the analyst to make their own choice of reagents, supplies, and equipment with the limitation that the method performance must be appropriate for the intended application. Data quality objectives for the analysis (or understanding what is needed from the analysis) address the quality control (precision, recovery, and sensitivity) needed for the analysis.

Quality control criteria is provided throughout the methods in SW-846. Many methods in a numerical series (for example, the 3000, 3500, or 7000 series) refer to one primary location for the QC information on that series. Chapter One also provides a quality control overview for both laboratory and field activities. Method-defined QC criteria may be found in the specific methods. If inconsistencies are found between the general QC guidance and method-specific or technique-specific QC, then the latter takes precedence.⁸⁵

SW-846 also contains procedures for Amethod-defined parameters,@ in which the analytical results are dependant on the process used to make the measurement. Examples of these are the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP), the flash point, and pH. These procedures tie into regulations that specify certain limitations when classifying material as hazardous waste. Changes to these methods may alter the final analytical result and cause a material to be incorrectly identified (either hazardous or nonhazardous).

Another cautionary note within SW-846 concerns comparing results from different procedures. Even though different methods may be designed to measure the same analyte, they may still produce different results for a variety of reasons. Examples of varying results include differences in analyte recoveries between extraction techniques and differences between the digestion methods used in metals analysis.

Water and Wastewater

Violations of the Clean Water Act (CWA) often involve the illegal discharge of a pollutant to a body of water without a permit or in violation of an existing permit.

Methods for water and wastewater used by the USEPA come from various sources. Some methods have been prepared by the USEPA Office of Water but many others have been adopted for use from outside sources. The analytical methods prepared by USEPA are available for purchase through NTIS (see appendix for more information).¹²⁰ Some USEPA analytical water methods are available in downloadable electronic format.¹²¹ A collection of analytical methods, "Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water," is available on CD-ROM. It contains most drinking water methods published by USEPA.¹²²

The two primary sources from outside the USEPA are the American Water Works Association (AWWA) and the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). The AWWA provides water methods in the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. This reference book is available from the American Public Health Association (APHA).¹²³ ASTM water methods are taken from the Annual Book of ASTM Standards.¹²⁴ An online list of non-USEPA analytical methods includes the source of each method. Additionally, a list of sources and links for analytical methods in the water section is available.^{125,126}

Air

In the US, environmental crimes that involve violations of the Clean Air Act (CAA) are primarily related to the illegal removal and disposal of asbestos and the illegal importation of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). Asbestos is regulated under the Toxic Substances Control Act and the Clean Air Act.¹²⁷

Crimes involving asbestos can have a significant impact on people who are in the lower income groups in society. Many cases deal with asbestos removal jobs performed by indigent or immigrant workers who have not received training or personal protective equipment (PPE) to perform the abatement (removal) procedure. In one case, Spanish-speaking immigrants were trained with videos, but the videos were in the English language, not Spanish. Another case described abatement conditions so poor that deaf employees could not see each other well enough to communicate using sign language. Workers without proper training and PPE are exposed to asbestos fibers. Exposures to these fibers can lead to lung cancer, a lung disease called asbestosis, and mesothelioma, a cancer of the chest and abdominal cavities.

CFCs and other ozone-depleting chemicals have become a serious concern since the adoption of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer of 1987. As part of the United States' commitment to implementing the Montreal Protocol, the Clean Air Act (CAA) was amended by the US Congress to add provisions for the protection of the ozone layer by restricting the domestic production and importation of these chemicals in the US.¹²⁸

Methods for detection and determination of air pollutants are listed at the Technology Transfer Network (TTN) Internet site through the Emissions Measurement Center (EMC) and the Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center (AMTIC).^{129,130} A compendium that lists and summarizes methods for the determination of toxic organic compounds in air is available online.¹³¹ The toxic organic methods are also available in downloadable form.¹³²

Additional

Pesticides are regulated under two federal statutes: FIFRA, and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). The Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) amended FIFRA and FFDCA to set tougher safety standards for pesticides and uniform requirements regarding foods.¹³³ The Office of Pesticide Programs has two indexes for analytical methods for pesticides.^{134,135}

The Code of Federal Regulations also contains methods for analysis and can be accessed at two different Internet sites.^{136,137} Some USEPA methods are easily available on the Internet in downloadable form. A table of these methods has been included in the appendix along with the corresponding Internet site addresses.

A nearly all-inclusive list of the USEPA methods, revised May 2000, contains the chemical name or method name cross-referenced with USEPA report numbers, the location of the method in the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR), and the publication media used for the method (if an electronic version is available).¹³⁸ A quick reference to USEPA methods, with links to the methods or to sources for purchase, is also online.¹³⁹

A book by Keith provides a short description of USEPA methods listed by analytes. It includes information regarding the analytical methods but only brief details on the sampling method for each.¹⁴⁰

Comparisons between different analytical methods and analyte cross-reference tables are provided in a handy book titled Guide to Environmental Analytical Methods. Tables with guidelines for methods on sampling, sample extraction, and cleanup are also included.¹⁴¹

Analytical methods used outside the US can sometimes be accessed through a nation's environmental protection or analytical standards Internet sites. The Environment Canada Internet site provides a search engine to locate selected environmental protection publications including reference methods and guidance documents. The number and title of the document, description, and purchase price are given in the search results.¹⁴² A search by keyword or document number at the Dutch National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) Internet site will yield detailed abstracts of available reports and order information for full reports.¹⁴³

Standards Australia has a flexible "Apower search" allowing the user to find documents by title, keyword, or standard number. The document number, title, and order information are provided in the search results.¹⁴⁴ The Environmental Protection Authority for the state of New South Wales, Australia, has two Internet sites that list approved methods for sampling and analysis of water and air pollutants.^{145,146} Tables of methods are organized by the analytes of interest.

British Standards Online requires that users register first and then login before beginning any document search. The expanded search feature is impressive and allows wide-ranging search options in order to track down documents. Search results include the document number, title, and purchase price.¹⁴⁷

INSTRUMENTATION AND TECHNIQUES

A wide variety of instrumentation is often required for the analysis of environmental samples. Analytical Chemistry, a journal published by the American Chemical Society, is a valuable source of information for trends and current developments about instrumentation and analytical techniques. This journal has yearly review issues that alternate between Fundamental Reviews (1996, 1998, and 2000) and Application Reviews (1997, 1999, and 2001).¹⁴⁸ In Fundamental Reviews, techniques such as infrared spectroscopy or gas chromatography are covered. Updates to the techniques, current research, and applications are often included in the reviews. Application Reviews cover areas of chemistry such as environmental analysis, field analytical chemistry, and forensic science. These reviews are often subcategorized by instruments or techniques or types of samples. Searches of the table of contents for several years of Analytical Chemistry issues can be made at the journal's web site.

Results from a literature search of recent papers and reviews on topics, techniques and instrumentation are below. Recent Analytical Chemistry reviews have been included in this grouping.

Environmental Analysis

- 4# Biennial review; developments in applied environmental analytical chemistry from November 1998 to October 2000; subcategories include SPME applications, air, water, and soil sample type analyses ¹⁴⁹
- 4# Biennial review; developments from November 1996 to October 1998; categorized by matrix (air, water, soil, and biological); includes technology cross-reference table ¹⁵⁰
- 4# Biennial review; developments from November 1994 to October 1996; matrix subcategories for analysis applications; quality control and reference materials section ¹⁵¹
- 4# Trends in environmental analysis; developments in extraction methods, MS, and field-portable instrumentation ¹⁵²

Sample Preparation

- 4# Review; extensive tables on techniques for preparation of solid and liquid samples ¹⁵³
- 4# Proper subsampling from field sample to the laboratory analysis sample; reducing mass and segregation errors ¹⁵⁴
- 4# Selection of extraction technique for organic pollutants in environmental matrices; table summaries of analytes extracted by MAE, SFE, and PFE ¹⁵⁵
- 4# Review; solventless sample preparation techniques; covers extraction with a gas stream, membrane extraction, SPE, and SFE ¹⁵⁶
- 4# Comparison of extraction techniques for environmental solids ¹⁵⁷
- 4# Evaluation of extraction recoveries for certain organometallic compounds in sediment and other matrices ¹⁵⁸

Air Analysis

- 4# Review of research published from 1995 to 1998; air analysis by GC; table of sampling and injection techniques; table of stationary phases and analytes ¹⁵⁹
- 4# Biennial review; methods for air pollutant analysis covering literature from January 1997 to December 1998; contents divided as gases and aerosols; applications to a variety of instrumentation ¹⁶⁰
- 4# Review; analysis of organic compounds in air; massive tables of analytical methods for volatile organic compounds, aldehydes and ketones, semivolatile organic pollutants, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, halocarbons, and isocyanates and amines ¹⁶¹
- 4# Review; sorbents used to trap volatile organic compounds from air ¹⁶²
- 4# Analysis of process gases; overview of instrumentation and techniques, sampling systems, calibration and traceability, and data collection and processing ¹⁶³

Water Analysis

- 4# Review covering developments in water analysis from 1999 to 2000 with a few significant 2001 references; contents categorized by pollutants ¹⁶⁴
- 4# Review; methods for preconcentrating organic and inorganic compounds ¹⁶⁵

Atomic Spectrometry

- 4# Annual review; atomic spectrometry update on analysis of environmental samples; categorized by media (air, water, soils, and geological) ¹⁶⁶
- 4# Annual review; combined atomic absorption and fluorescence with atomic emission spectrometry; covers literature from 1998 and 1999; topics include sample introduction, instrumentation, and coupled techniques ¹⁶⁷
- 4# Annual review; atomic spectrometry analysis for elements in air, water, soil, and geologic materials; includes MS, XRF, AA, ICP-MS, AES, and other instrumentation ¹⁶⁸
- 4# Trace element analysis of airborne particles; overview of methods with comparison between GFAAS, flame AAS, ICP-AES, and ICP-MS ¹⁶⁹
- 4# Biennial review; cited papers from 1998 and 1999; developments with instrumentation and operation ¹⁷⁰
- 4# Annual review; atomic spectrometry update on environmental analysis; topics categorized by sample media ¹⁷¹
- 4# Annual review; developments in atomic absorption and fluorescence spectrometry in 1997 and 1998 ¹⁷²
- 4# Invited lecture on the future of atomic spectrometry in environmental analysis; discussions on sample preparation, instrumentation, detection power, speciation, and field portability; also includes nearly 200 references ¹⁷³
- 4# Biennial review; publications from 1996 and 1997 on techniques of analytical atomic absorption; electrothermal atomization, flame atomic absorption and emission, laser techniques, and vapor-phase sample introduction are covered ¹⁷⁴

Capillary Electrophoresis (CE)

- 4# Review; analysis of environmental samples; charts of fresh and salt water, wastewater, and industrial process water matrices; chart for soil, sediment, and biological samples ¹⁷⁵
- 4# Review; status of CE for organic environmental pollutant determination based on papers published from 1997 to early 1999; excludes pesticides and inorganic pollutants from the review ¹⁷⁶
- 4# Review; analysis of inorganic pollutants; environmental applications divided by sample media; analytes tables for atmospheric, aquatic, and soil samples ¹⁷⁷
- 4# Review of references published mainly from 1995 to 1997; analysis of environmental samples; tables of sample matrices and ions ¹⁷⁸
- 4# Review; separation and analysis of environmental pollutants ¹⁷⁹

- 4# Biennial review; covers the period of October 1995 to October 1997; focus on significant developments in theory and practice of CE; advances in performance optimization, instrumental configuration, and detection strategies ¹⁸⁰
 - 4# Review; focused on methods developed since 1994 on the determination of pollutants; divided according to pollutant type; large table of environmental applications and corresponding references ¹⁸¹
 - 4# Review; analysis of inorganic pollutants ¹⁸²
 - 4# Review; extensive table of anions, sample matrices and electrolyte system ¹⁸³
- Gas Chromatography (GC)**
- 4# Biennial review; covers fundamental developments in gas chromatography published from 1998 and 1999; topics include adsorbents, phases, theory, and new technology ¹⁸⁴
 - 4# Biennial review; GC developments published in articles from 1996 and 1997 ¹⁸⁵
 - 4# Review; sample introduction techniques; GC-MS and GC-FTIR applications ¹⁸⁶
 - 4# Review; trace analysis of pesticides by GC; discusses differences between detectors and extraction techniques ¹⁸⁷ Overview of sample introduction and sample preparation of volatile organic compounds for GC analysis ¹⁸⁸
Automated GC system used by the Taiwan EPA for measuring ambient VOCs ¹⁸⁹
- Gas Chromatography-Atomic Emission Detection (GC-AED)**
- 4# Empirical formula study of chlorofluorocarbons using GC coupled to atomic emission detection ¹⁹⁰
- Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)**
- 4# Analysis of petroleum products within forensic science review ¹⁹¹
- High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)**
- 4# Biennial review; fundamental developments in column liquid chromatography equipment and instrumentation from October 1997 to October 1999; instrumentation, columns, and a variety of detectors are covered ¹⁹²
 - 4# Biennial review; developments in equipment and instrumentation from October 1995 through October 1997 ¹⁹³
 - 4# Biennial review; theory and methods in liquid chromatography from October 1995 through October 1997; topics include data analysis, biopolymer separations, affinity chromatography, ion chromatography, and preparative LC ¹⁹⁴
 - 4# Review of literature methods published from 1989 to 1996; vast chart of anions, stationary and mobile phases, methods ¹⁹⁵
 - 4# Review; determination of nitrite and nitrate in environmental samples ¹⁹⁶
 - 4# Review; determination of hazardous compounds (PCBs, dioxins, etc.) using reversed-phase HPLC; stationary phases discussed ¹⁹⁷

Ion Chromatography (IC)

- 4# Review of articles published from 1997 to 1999 with some classic references; detailed chart of inorganic anions, matrices, columns, detectors; environmental applications ¹⁹⁸
- 4# Review; sample preparation and separation techniques for IC and CE; comparison of advantages and disadvantages of IC and CE ¹⁹⁹
- 4# Elemental analysis of airborne particles; included are tables on separation methods and filter media ²⁰⁰

Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP)

- 4# Review; book selection on advances in ICP-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) and ICP-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS); analytical capabilities and interferences of the two spectrometers; practical applications highlighting soil samples ²⁰¹
- 4# USEPA methods and elements list; ICP-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) and ICP-MS technique comparison ²⁰²
- 4# Elemental speciation using ICP-MS interfaced to a liquid chromatograph ²⁰³

Infrared (IR) Spectrometry

- 4# Biennial review; articles published during 1998 and 1999; focus on 2D IR, combinatorial chemistry, and human health ²⁰⁴
- 4# Biennial review; covers published literature from November 1995 to October 1997 on aspects of IR spectroscopy relevant to chemical analysis; includes a section on environmental analysis ²⁰⁵

Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)

- 4# Review; general overview of instrumentation; environmental applications ²⁰⁶
- 4# Review; current and future developments in pesticide trace analysis ²⁰⁷
- 4# Determination of herbicides in water; techniques and applications to herbicide analysis ²⁰⁸
- 4# Analysis of surface and wastewater with atmospheric pressure chemical ionization ²⁰⁹

Microwave Assisted Extraction (MAE)

- 4# Review; extraction of environmental samples; tables for extraction of PCBs, pesticides, phenols, and organometallics in environmental matrices ²¹⁰
- 4# Review; table comparing extraction techniques; tables for extraction of persistent organic pollutants and pesticides ²¹¹
- 4# Review; extraction of petroleum hydrocarbons from contaminated soils ²¹²

Mass Spectrometry (MS)

- 4# Annual review; update on developments in instrumentation and methodology with atomic mass spectrometry ²¹³
- 4# Annual review; advances in instrumentation, methodology, and in understanding the fundamental phenomena of atomic mass spectrometry ²¹⁴
- 4# Annual review; developments from 1997 and 1998; trends identified in each section ²¹⁵

Pressurized Fluid Extraction (PFE) B also known as Pressurized Liquid Extraction (PLE) or Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE)

- 4# Review; extraction of persistent organic pollutants; tables of conditions found in the literature with matrices of soil, sediment, sewage sludge, dust, clay, fly ash, and biological tissue ²¹⁶
- 4# Extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soils ²¹⁷
- 4# Extraction of PCBs from environmental samples as compared to SFE ²¹⁸
- 4# Extraction of hydrocarbons from soils ²¹⁹
- 4# Recovery of PCBs from organic matrix; comparison to Soxhlet extraction ²²⁰

Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE)

- 4# Review; methods, instrumentation, and applications including environmental ²²¹
- 4# Discussion of advantages and limitations of SFE ²²²

Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)

- 4# Review; environmental applications, coupling with capillary electrophoresis; table of analytes for SPE-CE ²²³
- 4# Review; including method development, sorbents, and coupling with liquid chromatography ²²⁴
- 4# Review; multi-residue analysis of organic contaminants in water ²²⁵

4# Review; historical to present day technical developments for SPE of organic pollutants in water ²²⁶

4# Review; functionalized cellulose sorbents for preconcentration of trace metals in environmental analysis ²²⁷

4# Review; recent developments in SPE based on polymer sorbents ²²⁸

4# Developments in SPE disks for use in environmental chemistry for analysis of trace organic compounds ²²⁹

Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME)

4# Review; extraction modes, fibers, and instrumentation interfaces ²³⁰

4# Review; determination of organic pollutants in environmental matrices; tables of published applications in air and liquid samples ²³¹

4# Review; trace element speciation; table of species, sample type, and SPME method ²³²

Ultra-Violet (UV) Spectrometry

4# Biennial review; developments in ultraviolet and visible absorption spectrometry from January 1996 through October 1997; subject matter covered in categories of chemistry, physics, and applications ²³³

X-Ray Spectrometry (XRS)

4# Annual review of X-ray fluorescence spectrometry; developments over the period of 1999 to 2000; details on instrumentation and applications, including environmental ²³⁴

4# Analysis of ambient air samples; topics include method comparison, sampling, interferences, and calibration ²³⁵

4# Annual review of X-ray fluorescence; covering papers published from 1998 to 1999 ²³⁶

4# Biennial review of X-ray spectrometry; literature from late 1998 to October 1999 ²³⁷

4# Annual review of X-ray fluorescence spectrometry; covers work published from 1997 to 1998; topics include instrumentation and applications ²³⁸

4# Biennial review; covering advances in X-ray spectrometry from November 1995 to the autumn of 1997; contents include categories on excitation, detection, and quantitation ²³⁹

Various extraction methods for environmental analysis are presented in a book by Dean. The book is divided by aqueous and solid samples and covers many techniques such as SPME, SFE, and MAE. Theoretical considerations and methods of analysis are discussed for the techniques, and a comparison chart for the extraction techniques is included. ²⁴⁰

Barceló has compiled a significant book in the *ATechniques and Instrumentation in Analytical Chemistry@* series. Chapters submitted by various authors fall into these key sections: Field Sampling Techniques and Sample Preparation, Quality Assurance, Reference Materials, and Chemometrics, Application Areas, and Emerging Techniques. ²⁴¹

EVIDENCE PRESENTATION

LINK ANALYSIS

Link analysis or criminal intelligence analysis has been a tool of traditional criminal investigations for some time. It has also become a method used to support criminal and civil environmental investigations. The various charting techniques used have covered many types of analysis including the Traditional Link Analysis, Association Analysis, Telephone Record Analysis, Event and Activity Flow Analysis, Commodity Flow, Financial Analysis, Crime Pattern Analysis and Time/Event Analysis. Other chart types have also been developed to illustrate how an environmental crime was committed.

Link analysis charts are an effective and successful tool in US trial presentations to depict a complex set of events and the specific information related to the crime. These charts help the judge or jury understand the complex relationships which exist in environmental crime. Environmental crimes are often very difficult to follow, especially if understanding the crime requires keeping track of the activities of many people and the timing of particular events (e.g., when various loads of waste are transported).

Anacapa Sciences provides training in traditional methods of link analysis using the link, event, activity, and commodity charting methods.²⁴² This basic method can easily involve rolls of paper and hundreds of APost-it Notes. Anacapa has developed a computerized alternative to this in the Enhanced Criminal Network Analysis (ECNA) computer program.

A more powerful approach is provided by i2, Inc.²⁴³ The Analyst's Notebook software package has two main tools for different types of analysis, the Link Notebook and the Case Notebook.

Link analysis is being used successfully by NEIC to connect complex details of environmental crimes. One example involved USEPA's reformulated gas initiative. This initiative required that the complex relationships between a company and employees be clearly defined and identified.

For a high profile laboratory fraud case, NEIC developed timeline charts using i2's Case Notebook. After the defendant pled guilty, the timeline charts were submitted to the court for the sentencing phase to show the gravity of the fraud and illustrate the length of time during which the fraud had been committed.

Another link analysis chart developed in a case against an environmental disposal company showed the relationship between the proposed method of waste disposal and the actual method of disposal. A corresponding link chart illustrated the telephone calls made by the defendants and helped to successfully prove the conspiracy. The guilty parties received stiff jail sentences and were forced to pay \$1.27 million in clean-up costs.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND THE COURTROOM

The end result of any actions performed by a forensic laboratory may be decided in a court of law. Data and scientific opinions will be admitted into evidence if the scientific information is relevant and reliable. The debate in the United States legal system over what scientific opinions and data may be included into evidence is ongoing. Understanding some of the factors that shape the decision to accept or reject a scientist's testimony can benefit members of a forensic science community.

In US federal environmental enforcement cases, if defendants refuse to negotiate a settlement, then the case proceeds to a trial in the federal court system. With a trial comes the challenge of understanding the scientific experts and scientific opinions presented in the case.

As a result of a US Supreme Court case referred to in short as *Daubert*, federal judges now have the responsibility of being the gatekeeper of scientific evidence in the courtroom.²⁴⁴ The benefit of this ruling is that it prevents so-called junk science from being presented and possibly misleading a jury. (Juries composed of US citizens often serve as fact-finders.) In many environmental cases, a federal judge will hold some type of *Daubert* hearing before expert testimony will be heard.

In a *Daubert* hearing, both sides must present their best case regarding the scientific work and be prepared to defend the work as having a sound scientific footing. The opinions presented may be based on standard methods or on a novel application to established science. In addition to questioning by lawyers, judges often delve into the basis for expert opinions in order to find the answers to two key questions.

4# Is the opinion relevant?

Does the opinion presented deal with the issues in the case? Do the scientific studies or research cited to support the opinion have direct relationship to the issues in the case?

4# Is the science reliable?

Is the methodology that was used good science? (Was the method validated?) How were all aspects of the measuring process performed (from sampling to the laboratory analysis)? Were all the necessary QC actions taken to ensure the reliability of the data? Were established procedures for each action followed in the field, in the laboratory, and in all the steps in between? (Defensibility of field methods is no different from that of laboratory methods.)²⁴⁵

Two recent environmental crime cases involving NEIC demonstrate problems that can occur with determining the reliability of the science involved. One case necessitated that USEPA guidance be challenged and shown to be faulty. In another case, the company being prosecuted challenged their own data to show that it was unreliable and could not be used against them.

In the first case, the owner and operator of a fertilizer manufacturing company knowingly endangered his workers by exposing them to cyanide waste. A criminal investigation began as soon as USEPA learned of the illegal hazardous waste disposal activities that physically crippled and caused permanent brain damage to one of the employees. The employee was sent into a 25,000-gallon storage tank to clean out the cyanide sludge inside without the required protective equipment. The employee was not told the tank contained cyanide waste. When rescue workers and medical personnel arrived, they were not told that the storage tank sludge contained cyanide, even though the medical personnel asked direct questions regarding cyanide exposure.

Among other things, NEIC developed a storage tank model to demonstrate that the waste material in the storage tank was capable of generating toxic gases in harmful levels. Additionally, because USEPA guidance on hazardous waste contained a flawed analytical method for measuring cyanide, other methods were employed to prove that the waste was hazardous. The *Daubert* challenge from the defense attacked the tank model and the waste sample collected from the storage tank on the premise that neither were representative of the actual circumstances in 1996.

An NEIC expert testified during the *Daubert* hearing, and was able to satisfy the judge that his opinion was based on reliable data and corroborating evidence. At trial, he presented his opinion that the waste was hazardous and explained how the evidence (testimony of others, NEIC sample analyses, and medical records) supported his opinion. The defendant was sentenced to a 17-year prison term, the longest sentence ever imposed for an environmental crime, and was ordered to pay \$6 million in restitution to the injured employee.²⁴⁶

In the other case, the challenge raised by the defendant during the *Daubert* hearing was directed against the expert for the prosecution, as well as the defendant's own chemist and data. A major meat processing company and several of the company managers were charged with felony counts of conspiracy, illegal dumping of pollutants, and filing of false reports in violation of the federal Clean Water Act.^{247,248} The company's discharge monitoring reports had been falsified to indicate compliance with the discharge permit provided to the wastewater treatment plant that treated waste from the company's slaughterhouse operation. Measurements taken to monitor discharges were recorded by the company chemist in two sets of books. One set contained the real numbers from testing, and the other set contained the numbers fabricated for reporting to the USEPA.

The methodology used to obtain the test results was not challenged in the *Daubert* hearing. The company challenged how their own chemist conducted the method and claimed that the numbers could be higher or lower. The company also argued that the chemist used no quality control and was incompetent and unreliable. An NEIC expert examined the company's data and offered the opinion that the data was of sufficient quality to prove permit violations. The court accepted the company data for use in the trial.

All members in an environmental investigation have the personal responsibility for contributing quality work. The relationship between the QA procedures and actions in an investigation has a significant affect within the courtroom. The scientific expert, giving an opinion on how the data relates to the case, must be someone with the experience, education, or training to address the issues. But the expertise of the scientific witness is not always the focus of the strongest amount of scrutiny; it is quite often the execution of the methods involved in the measurement process (sample collection and handling, chain of custody, QA/QC, etc.) that is examined most closely. Therefore, all individuals involved in any part of the investigation must produce defensible work.

A thorough and well-referenced guidebook for legal professionals and scientists who may be providing expert testimony is the Scientific Evidence and Experts Handbook.²⁴⁹ It is a compilation of eight chapters on topics such as APretrial Preparation in Scientific Cases,@ AFinding, Hiring, and Supporting Scientific Experts in Complex Scientific Evidence Cases,@ and AScientific Evidence in Criminal Cases,@ and is written by litigators with years of experience in the courtroom. A year 2000 update to this handbook is also available.²⁵⁰

With the responsibility of being a Agatekeeper@ for scientific and technical testimony in the courtroom, district court judges now must perform inquiries into technical matters and evaluate scientific evidence. Several resources to assist judges in this potentially overwhelming task will be tested for usefulness and legal reliability in the coming years.²⁵¹ The Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence produced by the Federal Judicial Center is a readily-available source for help in evaluating scientific evidence. Both editions of the manual can be downloaded from the Federal Judicial Center Internet site in whole or in separate sections.²⁵² Sections include discussions of statistics, toxicology, and DNA evidence among others.

Another aid to judges is the Court Appointed Scientific Experts (CASE) project developed by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS).²⁵³ Many trial lawyers question the legal viability of this project because of concerns that a court-appointed expert might not be fully impartial or that such expert testimony could tip the balances in the legal system. The Federal Judicial Center has indicated support for the CASE project and will evaluate the usefulness of the project after 5 years.²⁵⁴

SELECTED TOPICS

LABORATORY FRAUD

Laboratory fraud is defined as the deliberate falsification of analytical or quality assurance results, where failed method and contractual requirements are made to appear acceptable during reporting.²⁵⁵ Laboratory fraud has also been defined as a deliberate mechanism, concealment, or falsification producing detrimental reliance on analytical results.²⁵⁶ Laboratory fraud has historically been detected either by reports from disgruntled employees or electronic data audits. In both circumstances, the laboratory has already performed fraudulent work and the damage resulting from the fraud has occurred.²⁵⁵

In a paper presented at the USEPA 18th Annual National Conference on Managing Quality Systems for Environmental Programs, Worthington and Brilis discussed the assumption made by many data producers and users that analytical data are authentic when, in fact, the data are not authentic. There are a number of terms used to describe non-authentic data. The term Adata integrity@ is used at times to consider all issues.²⁵⁷

Lab fraud cases generally are brought forward by informants, disgruntled employees, or outside audits.²⁵⁵ In 1999, the Center for Strategic Environmental Enforcement (CSEE), Criminal Investigation Division (CID), Office of Criminal Enforcement, Forensics, and Training performed an extensive review of all USEPA CID lab fraud cases that were investigated from 1983 through 1998. The review identified 63 cases involving data falsification committed by a third party laboratory.²⁵⁶ Third party laboratories are hired by companies for analytical testing, as opposed to performing tests using an in-house company lab.

The extent of lab fraud cases has grown exponentially over the last few years. In the last 2 years, 11 new lab fraud cases were opened in the United States.²⁵⁶ This growing area of environmental crime is now being defined and addressed as a source of concern for enforcement agencies.

A literature review was conducted to examine the scope of the laboratory fraud problem. In a lab fraud case from 1995, Eureka Laboratories, Inc. was fined \$1.8 million and two chemists were convicted of federal fraud charges related to the manipulation of lab results for federal contracts. The convictions came after the federal government suspended Eureka from government contracts because of allegations of fraudulent practices.²⁵⁸

In another case, Donald Budd, the former owner of Texas Environmental Services of Beaumont, Texas, was sentenced to 6 years in federal prison after misrepresenting laboratory methods. The laboratory tested water for cities, refineries, and other companies.²⁵⁹

A slightly different type of laboratory fraud case involved a consulting company located in Portland, Oregon. On June 14, 1996, the USEPA published an account of the fraudulent reporting of data by Robert Cyphers.²⁶⁰ According to the USEPA statement, Cyphers, the former president and owner of UST Environmental Services, pled guilty to four felony counts involving the submission of written false statements to the government. As part of the plea agreement, he agreed to serve a recommended 30-month term of incarceration.

Cyphers operated a company with the primary business of removing leaking underground storage tanks and performing subsequent cleanup of contaminated soil and groundwater. He submitted over a thousand fictitious laboratory analysis reports regarding the extent of soil and groundwater contamination to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. These reports were used by regulatory agencies to determine the degree of environmental contamination and cleanup necessary to protect human health and the environment. A few sites affected by Cyphers' lab fraud case have been re-sampled. Analyses have determined that petroleum contamination still exists.

On January 7, 1998, Intertek Testing Services Environmental Services laboratory (ITS) made a voluntary disclosure that fraudulent practices were being conducted in their laboratory located in Richardson, Texas.²⁶¹ ITS disclosed that employees were improperly manipulating QC data during a time period that was later determined to be 1991-1997. The manipulations included actions of Ashaving,@ Ajuicing,@ and Atime traveling@ to make data appear to meet QC requirements. These practices affected hundreds of projects, thousands of clients, and hundreds of thousands of samples.

In another case, the USEPA Region 5 Laboratory, also known as the USEPA Central Regional Laboratory, was investigated for allegations of altering test results. A criminal investigation regarding these allegations was conducted by the US Department of Justice. The USEPA alerted federal prosecutors handling pollution cases, as well as suspected polluters, that some data used in pollution cases might be tainted. An unpublicized, 28-month investigation of the laboratory organic section found that USEPA supervisors and private contractors had mishandled some time-sensitive test samples by not initiating analyses within required holding times.²⁶²⁻²⁶⁴

Worthington groups non-authentic data into two types: unintentional and intentional. Unintentional errors include those made during sample collection, or as a result of calculation errors or transcription errors. Intentional errors include improperly reusing instrument calibration data, altering spiked samples to achieve the desired recovery level, and changing the date of analysis to suggest that samples were analyzed within holding times.²⁵⁷

An internal USEPA memorandum discusses contributing factors for laboratory fraud. Managers such as USEPA officials, prime contractors, and laboratory owners may rationalize or even foster laboratory fraud when these factors exist.

4# Ineffective oversight of laboratory data

4# Shrinking market resulting in a focus on production over quality

4# AOne size fits all@ approach to analytical requirements

According to the memo, lack of oversight was identified as a problem in prior Office of Inspector General (OIG) and USEPA efforts. The second factor, a shrinking market, cannot be influenced by the USEPA. The final condition, a Aone size fits all@ approach, can occur in contracts that define specific QA/QC requirements. Although laboratories bidding on contracts are aware of requirements, in specific cases managers or staff may view the requirements as too stringent and may cut corners to save money. ²⁶⁵

Several publications have been written on laboratory fraud detection. In addition to reports by a disgruntled current or former employee, investigative may receive reports of lab fraud from data reviewers and data users, auditors and inspectors, and regulators. ²⁵⁶

Another indicator of potentially fraudulent data reporting is an anomalously low bid on a contract. Bids that are 50% lower than every other bid indicate the possibility of lab fraud according to Stephen Remaley, an investigator for the USEPA. Remaley describes the types of laboratory fraud as well as methods for detecting fraudulent practices. ²⁶⁶

Simmons presented guidelines being developed by the California Military Environmental Coordination Committee for the prevention of laboratory fraud. The techniques which are being considered to discourage fraud include double-blind proficiency test samples, audits, data validation, and electronic record audits. ²⁵⁸

According to Worthington, an important step in processing non-authentic data is making and documenting decisions when the problem is first discovered. The timely reporting of potential fraud and the establishment of a reconciliation process is emphasized. ²⁵⁷ Resources that may assist in the detection of laboratory fraud and the subsequent reconciliation of data include laboratory employees, data users, and inspectors. ²⁵⁶

A USEPA Region 9 paper contains a list of laboratory fraud terminology and provides a table with descriptions and real world examples of each type of laboratory fraud. The table also provides suggestions for investigating fraudulent techniques. ²⁵⁵

CHEMICAL FINGERPRINTING

One useful forensic technique used in environmental investigations is chemical fingerprinting. Chemical fingerprinting is used to identify an unknown chemical or compound or to trace contaminants back to a particular origin. For example, petroleum contamination can often be traced back to a particular refinery based on additives that are unique to that refinery. A study of metals in areas surrounding a smelter can point back to the smelter effluent as the cause of pollution.

Morrison reviews the use of chemical fingerprinting for petroleum hydrocarbons in recent articles.^{267,268} Some items discussed include proprietary additives, alkyl leads, oxygenates, dyes, isotope analysis, and transport models.²⁶⁷ Morrison also discusses the chemistry and transport of petroleum hydrocarbons and contaminant transport modeling in a recent book. A similar overview of chlorinated solvents is also included.²⁶⁹

Chemical fingerprinting as it relates to oils, gasoline, and diesel fuel is addressed by Bruya. He reviews the test methods and analyses used in identifying and matching samples, and provides a review on evaluating chemical data used in fingerprinting. Tables of production specifications with test methods and tables of petroleum compositions with references are provided. Metals analysis is mentioned briefly.²⁷⁰

Lead isotope analysis for source identification and age dating is frequently used in studies on the effects of lead-based gasoline on the environment. Residual traces of lead can be found in the environment even after the use of lead-based gasoline has been discontinued. The signature left by lead in gasoline is discussed in an article by Hurst and co-workers.²⁷¹

Determining the sources of lead in the environment has been of world-wide interest. Lead isotope ratios have been studied in sediments in Scotland by Farmer, et al., to determine geochemical origins of lead and relative contributions from industrial and vehicle-exhaust emissions.²⁷² Gulson and co-workers detail a study on lead contamination that was centered in the intersection between a rural and an urban area in South Australia. Natural soil lead was considered along with orchard sprays, power stations, smelters, and gasoline additives. Isotopic ratios indicated that while the natural soil lead was the major component for soil below 30 cm, contamination from the tetraethyllead additive in gasoline was determined as the major component in surface samples.²⁷³ A study of river waters flowing into San Francisco Bay, California, from 1995 to 1998, traced the lead deposited in the drainage basin. Dunlap and colleagues saw a trend from leaded gasoline toward isotopic concentrations similar to inputs that occurred during hydraulic gold mining in 1853.²⁷⁴

Elemental ratios can also be used as a means of source identification. Monna and colleagues used lead isotope data and elemental ratios to study the lead isotope composition in lichens and aerosols in determining the impact of regional volcano activity.²⁷⁵ Elemental association was also used by Rauch, et al. Lead and platinum group metals in road sediments were studied using laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry.²⁷⁶

Particle analysis is another way of tracing sources of pollutants. An older article by Linton, et al., examines the combined use of the scanning electron microscope with energy dispersive X-ray analysis, multi-element analysis, and X-ray powder diffraction to identify the sources of lead-containing particles in urban dusts. The techniques used in the lead tracing study are described and the strengths and limitations of the techniques are summarized.²⁷⁷

Particle source identification for air quality management is discussed by Hopke. A section covering basic principles and natural physical constraints leads into sections on source composition for airborne particles, chemical mass balance, multivariate calibration methods, and factor analysis methods used for these studies.²⁷⁸

ACCREDITATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL FORENSIC LABS

Because of some recent and unfortunate events, forensic laboratories have received greater scrutiny for analyses and operations.^{262-264,279-283} A Trustworthiness@ and A Responsibility@ have been repeating themes as questions about the real quality behind scientific data are discussed in the news. Assuring the public that a forensic lab is capable of providing legally defensible analyses has made accreditation the goal of many forensic laboratories at federal and state levels.²⁸⁴⁻²⁸⁶

Many accrediting bodies exist and, while the basic goal of assuring the reliability of data from forensic laboratories is the same, there are variations between each accrediting group that must be evaluated by each laboratory seeking a source for accreditation.

In the case of NEIC, this facility obtained accredited status for the laboratory asbestos analysis program under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP).^{287,288} Since asbestos analysis accounts for only a portion of the total analyses conducted in the laboratory operations, additional accreditation in other laboratory functions was desired.

Accreditation of laboratory operations alone was insufficient to encompass all NEIC activities since the functions extend beyond sample analysis. Expert reports and expert witnessing, field investigations including sampling (single media or multimedia), facility audits, and advanced analytical applications also needed incorporation into an accreditation standard.

NEIC investigated accreditation requirements with the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP), the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA), and the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB) before reaching a viable accreditation standard agreement for center activities (laboratory, field, and courtroom) with the National Forensic Science Technology Center (NFSTC).^{289-292,285} The NEIC accreditation standard used elements of the ASCLD/LAB manual and met the requirements for ISO/IEC Guide 25 and ANSI/ASQC E4.

Sufficient funding to adequately meet the challenges of accreditation is a concern for forensic laboratories. Overall resources for day-to-day implementation of accreditation standards should be considered. A California state auditor report on forensic labs pointed out that money is required not just for primary elements of accreditation (e.g., proficiency testing and court monitoring programs). Money also should be allocated for capital equipment replacement, facility improvements, management information systems, and forensic examiner training programs.²⁸⁶ Time is another required resource. A drop in efficiency should be expected as employee time is funneled into the production of documentation and reports.²⁹³

Writing an accreditation standard for a forensic laboratory and establishing a quality system to maintain the standard is not enough to ensure that quality scientific data is being produced. Each member of the facility must take responsibility for their part in the system. Rosecrance suggests the implementation of an ethics program in the laboratory.²⁹⁴ In this article, the author covers the kinds of policies and actions that inform employees regarding unacceptable and fraudulent behavior. Additionally, a detailed table of unacceptable laboratory practices and corresponding actions to avoid these problems is a valuable tool for managers and scientists.

Two interesting articles about the implementation of quality systems are found in the technical program of the 19th Annual National Conference on Managing Environmental Quality Systems.²⁹⁵ MacMillan tells the success story of quality system implementation for a small environmental testing laboratory. Of special note was the decision to hire a dedicated quality staff to document and formalize components of the program. Siders provides the perspective of implementing a quality system on a state-wide level with a division of laboratories in his article. He lists the fundamental and critical elements needed in a laboratory quality system, and the division-wide policies, standard operating procedures and manuals used to effect the laboratory accreditation.

The USEPA Quality System has guidance documents that take into consideration recent legal developments regarding the admissibility of scientific evidence. A table of these documents and corresponding Internet site locations are provided by Brilis, et al.²⁹⁶ Additionally, the authors have provided a detailed list of criteria to consider in every stage of an environmental investigation to ensure that quality is maintained and is consistent.

A 1996 book by Günzler provides some details on different accreditation systems world-wide. Several authors contributed brief descriptions of accreditation systems in different nations. Additionally, the book contains chapters on quality assurance, traceability, and reference materials.²⁹⁷

The US environmental testing industry has joined with state regulators to form the National Environmental Laboratory Accrediting Conference (NELAC). NELAC has published a series of standards and has accredited the first group of private laboratories.²⁹⁸

CONCLUSIONS

Key points relevant to environmental forensics investigations and the corresponding aspects are highlighted below.

- 4# Information systems for the environmental forensic investigator are booming. Databases are increasing daily with information about chemicals, fate models, and financial or corporate connections. Two substantial encyclopedias, the Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology and the Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, provide details on engineering fundamentals, plant processes, and industrial chemicals.
- 4# Many Internet sites hosted by the USEPA provide extensive details about environmental crime scene investigations. Information available for investigators in the field include references to standards and methods, documentation and report examples, sampling techniques, and guides on field measurements. Several other Internet sites include details on laboratory analysis and methods available for download. The environmental protection agencies of many countries provide Internet sites with search engines for locating reference methods and guidance documents.
- 4# Case agents for environmental criminal investigations face new challenges because of the increasing expansion of computer systems and software. Including computer forensic analysts as part of an investigative team is one approach to using electronic evidence in an investigation. An alternate approach, training the case agent in computer forensics, may be time-consuming and may not provide adequate for the agent to follow rapid changes in computer technology.
- 4# In the US, laboratory fraud is an increasing part of environmental crime investigations. Conditions that may encourage laboratory fraud to occur are the ineffective oversight of laboratory data, a shrinking consumer market, and inappropriate QA/QC actions. Fraud hotlines and techniques such as double-blind proficiency testing, data validation, and electronic record audits may be useful in the prevention of laboratory fraud.

- 4# Accreditation is a key focus of environmental forensic laboratories. Important considerations for achieving accredited status include sufficient funding to support elements of accreditation (e.g., proficiency testing, facility improvement, and management information systems) and sufficient time resources to develop documentation and support changes in the organizational and functional structure.**
- 4# For environmental forensic organizations encompassing more than just a laboratory, elements of the investigative process that are outside the lab should be included in an accreditation standard. Elements of field sampling, evidence custody and preservation, and proper documentation can affect the prosecution of a criminal case and should be included in the quality management provided by a properly designed accreditation standard.**

REFERENCES

INTRODUCTION

407. USEPA Laws and Regulations, Major Environmental Laws. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/laws.htm> (accessed June 2001).
408. USEPA Introduction to Laws and Regulations. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/lawintro.htm> (accessed June 2001).
409. US National Archives and Records Administration, The Code of Federal Regulations. <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/index.html> (accessed June 2001).
410. CFR Title 40: Protection of the Environment. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/cfr40.htm> (accessed June 2001).
411. USEPA Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance. Criminal Investigation Division (CID) Mission Statement. <http://es.epa.gov/oeca/main/enforce/criminal.html> (accessed June 2001).

PLANNING

412. Bartlett=s Familiar Quotations. 16th ed. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1992;502.
413. Kennedy RF Jr. [Forward]. In: Drielak, SC. Environmental Crime: Evidence Gathering and Investigative Techniques. Springfield (IL): Charles C. Thomas, 1998.
414. Drielak SC. Environmental Crime: Evidence Gathering and Investigative Techniques. Springfield (IL): Charles C. Thomas, 1998.
415. Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. <http://www.law.ku.edu/research/frcrimI.htm> (accessed June 2001).
416. USEPA Region 4 AEnvironmental Investigations: Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual.@ <http://www.epa.gov/region04/sesd/eisopqam/eisopqam.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
417. USEPA Region 10 AConducting Environmental Compliance Inspections.@ <http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/offices/oea/ieu/manual/title.htm> (accessed June 2001).

GATHERING INFORMATION

418. Schmidt W, Stevner T, Wehner A. Using On-Line Searches in Investigations. Journal of Asset Protection 1998 July/August:39-48.
419. Scott R. The Investigator=s Little Black Book 2. Beverly Hills (CA): Crime Time Publishing Co., 1998.
420. Anacapa Sciences, Inc. Sources of Information for Criminal Investigators. Santa Barbara (CA):Anacapa Science, Inc., 2001.
421. Dun & Bradstreet Home Page. <http://www.dnb.com/> (accessed June 2001).

422. SEC Filing & Forms (EDGAR) Home Page. <http://www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml> (accessed June 2001).
423. About EDGAR Home Page. <http://www.sec.gov/edgar/aboutedgar.htm> (accessed June 2001).
424. FreeEDGAR: Free Real-Time SEC EDGAR Filings Home Page. <http://www.freeedgar.com/> (accessed June 2001).
425. Directory of Corporate Affiliations Home Page. <http://www.corporateaffiliations.com> (accessed June 2001).
426. ChoicePoint Inc. Home Page. <http://www.choicepoint.net> (accessed June 2001).
427. InfoUSAGov Home Page. <http://www.infousagov.com/products.htm> (accessed June 2001).
428. GIS Frequently Asked Questions and General Info List Index. <http://www.census.gov/ftp/pub/geo/www/faq-index.html> (accessed June 2001).
429. USEPA Information Sources, Databases and Software, Geographic Information Systems. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/gis.htm> (accessed June 2001).
430. USEPA Region 2, GIS Links on the Web. <http://www.epa.gov/Region2/gis/links.htm> (accessed June 2001).
431. Other GIS and Environmental Information Sources. http://www.epa.gov/region07/envdata/gis/gis_sources.html (accessed June 2001).
432. Kirk-Other Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 4th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999; 27 vols.
433. Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 5th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999; 37 vols.
434. Development Document for Final Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Landfills Point Source Category; EPA/821/R-99/019; US Environmental Protection Agency, National Technical Information Service: Washington, DC, 2000.
435. Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for Western Alkaline Coal Mining Subcategory; EPA/821/R-00/008; US Environmental Protection Agency, National Technical Information Service: Washington, DC, 2000.
436. Development Document for Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Metal Products and Machinery Point Source Category; EPA/821/B-00/005; US Environmental Protection Agency, National Technical Information Service: Washington, DC, 2000.
437. USEPA Sector Notebook Reports Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/oeca/sector> (accessed June 2001).
438. Wright LL. Searching Fee and Non-fee Toxicology Information Resources: An Overview of Selected Databases. *Toxicology* 2001; 157:89-110.
439. Keita-Ouane F, Durkee L, Clevestine E, Ruse M, Csizer Z, Kearns P, et al. The IOMC Organizations: A Source of Chemical Safety Information. *Toxicology* 2001; 157:111-119.
440. Miller Poore L, King G, Stefanik K. Toxicology Information Resources at the Environmental Protection Agency. *Toxicology* 2001; 157:11-23.

441. Brinkhause RP. Toxicology Information from US Government Agencies. *Toxicology* 2001; 157:25-49.
442. Global Information Network on Chemicals Home Page. <http://www.nihs.go.jp/GINC/> (accessed June 2001).
443. Material Safety Data Sheets Home Page. <http://www.msdssearch.com/> (accessed June 2001).
444. Chemical Abstracts Service Home Page. <http://www.cas.org/welcome.html> (accessed June 2001).
445. Morrison RD. Application of Forensic Techniques for Age Dating and Source Identification in Environmental Litigation. *Environmental Forensics* 2000 September; 1(3):131-153.
446. Integrated Model Evaluation System Home Page (Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment) Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/ncea/imes.htm> (accessed June 2001).
447. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Environmental Protection Agency Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/nceawww1/index.html> (accessed June 2001).
448. Exposure Models Library/ Integrated Model Evaluation System, Office of Research and Development, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Exposure Assessment Group Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/OWOW/watershed/tools/model.html> (accessed June 2001).
449. Environmental Fate Database Home Page. <http://esc.syrres.com/efdbinfo.htm> (accessed June 2001).
450. Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) Home Page. <http://www.ustreas.gov/fincen/index.html> (accessed June 2001).
451. Envirofacts Home Page. http://www.epa.gov/enviro/html/ef_overview.html (accessed June 2001).
452. Lexis/Nexis Home Page. <http://lexisnexis.com/> (accessed June 2001).
453. Westlaw, About Westlaw Page. <http://www.westlaw.com/about/> (accessed June 2001).
454. Dialog Home Page. <http://www.dialog.com/> (accessed June 2001).
455. UNEP-Infoterra Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/INFOTERRA/> (accessed June 2001).
456. INTERPOL Home Page. <http://www.interpol.int/> (accessed June 2001).

FIELD TECHNIQUES AND EQUIPMENT

457. USEPA: Technology Innovation Office (TIO) Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/swertio1/> (accessed June 2001).
458. Hazardous Waste Clean-Up Information (CLU-IN) Home Page. <http://clu-in.org/> (accessed June 2001).
459. Field Analytic Technologies Encyclopedia (FATE), Training Modules for Field-Based Technologies Training Program. <http://fate.clu-in.org/trainingmod.htm> (accessed June 2001).

460. Field Analytic Technologies Encyclopedia (FATE), Technologies. <http://fate.clu-in.org/technologies.htm> (accessed June 2001).
461. CLU-IN, Dynamic Data Collection Strategy Using Systematic Planning and Innovative Field-Based Measurement Technologies. http://clu-in.org/conf/tio/sysplan_031501/ (accessed June 2001).
462. USEPA REACH IT. <http://www.epareachit.org/index3.html> (accessed June 2001).
463. ETV Home. <http://www.epa.gov/etv/> (accessed June 2001).
464. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable. <http://www.frtr.gov/site/index.html> (accessed June 2001).
465. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable, Field Sampling Matrix. <http://www.frtr.gov/site/samplmatrix.html> (accessed June 2001).
466. Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable, Sample Analysis Tools. <http://www.frtr.gov/site/analysismatrix.html> (accessed June 2001).
467. Drum DA, Bauman SL, Shugar GJ. Environmental Field Testing and Analysis Ready Reference Handbook. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc., 2000.
468. Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology Journal. <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/jtoc?ID=38876> (accessed June 2001).
469. Lopez-Avila V, Hill HH. Field Analytical Chemistry. *Analytical Chemistry* 1997;69:289-306.
470. Robbat A Jr, Smarason S, Gankin Y. Dynamic Work Plans and Field Analytics, The Keys to Cost-Effective Hazardous Waste Site Investigations. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1998;2:253-265.
471. Overton EB, Dharmasena HP, Ehrmann U, Carney KR. Trends and Advances in Portable Analytical Instrumentation. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1996;1:87-92.
472. Martin MZ, Cheng M-D, Martin RC. Aerosol Measurement by Laser-Induced Plasma Technique: A Review. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 1999;31:409-421.
473. Dewulf J, Van Langenhove H. Anthropogenic Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air and Natural Waters: A Review on Recent Developments of Analytical Methodology, Performance and Interpretation of Field Measurements. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;843:163-177.
474. Lieberman SH. Direct-Push, Fluorescence-Based Sensor Systems for *In Situ* Measurement of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soils. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1998;2:63-73.
475. Hou X, Jones BT. Field Instrumentation in Atomic Spectroscopy. *Microchemical Journal* 2000;66:115-145.
476. Rogers KA, Mascini M. Biosensors for Field Analytical Monitoring. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1998;2:317-331.
477. Piorek S. Field-Portable X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry: Past, Present, and Future. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1997;1:317-329.
478. Kalnicky DJ, Singhvi R. Field Portable XRF Analysis of Environmental Samples. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2001;83:93-122.

479. Bernick MB, Campagna PR. Application of Field-Portable X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometers for Field-Scanning Air Monitoring Filters for Metals. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 1995;43:91-99.
480. Meuzelaar HLC, Dworzanski JP, Arnold NS, McClennen WH, Wager DJ. Advances in Field-Portable Mobile GC/MS Instrumentation. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 2000;4:3-13.
481. Matz G, Schröder W, Harder A, Schillings A, Rechenbach P. Fast On-Site GC/MS Analysis of Hazardous Compound Emissions from Fires and Chemical Accidents. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1997;1:181-194.
482. Matz G, Schröder W. Fast GC/MS Field Screening for Excavation and Bioremediation of Contaminated Soil. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1996;1:77-85.
483. Eckenrode BA. The Application of an Integrated Multifunctional Field-Portable GC/MS System. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1998;2:3-20.
484. Heyl M. Globetrotting with a Modular GC/MS Laboratory. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1996;1:3-12.
485. Goldthorp MD, Lambert P. Use of a Portable Infra-red Analyzer for Low-Level Hydrocarbon Emissions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2001;83:135-152.
486. Boring CB, Dasgupta PK, Sjögren A. Compact, Field-Portable Capillary Ion Chromatograph. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1998;804:45-54.
487. Hill HH, Simpson G. Capabilities and Limitations of Ion Mobility Spectrometry for Field Screening Applications. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1997;1:119-134.
488. Wise MB, Thompson CV, Merriweather R, Guerin MR. Review of Direct MS Analysis of Environmental Samples. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology* 1997;1:251-276.
489. Feeney R, Kounaves SP. Microfabricated Ultramicroelectrode Arrays: Developments, Advances, and Applications in Environmental Analysis. *Electroanalysis* 2000;12:677-684.
490. Littlefield MJ. Unique Characteristics of Searches in Environmental Matters. Prepared for the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC). June 2001. (E-mail Martin J. Littlefield at martin.littlefield@usdoj.gov to request a copy of the document.)

SAMPLING

491. SW-846 Online, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/main.htm> (accessed June 2001).
492. Environment Canada. The Inspector=s Safety Guide: A Field Guide for Environment Canada Inspectors. Ottawa: Environment Canada, 1995.
493. Environment Canada. The Inspector=s Field Sampling Manual: A Sampling Manual and Reference Guide for Environment Canada Inspectors. Ottawa: Environment Canada, 1995.

494. CLU-IN.ORG, Characterization and Monitoring. Educational, Policy and Guidance Materials. http://clu-in.org/char1_edu.cfm (accessed June 2001)
495. Keith LH, editor. Principles of Environmental Sampling. 2nd ed. Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society, 1996.
496. USEPA Agency-wide Quality System Documents. http://www.epa.gov/quality1/qa_docs.html (accessed June 2001).
497. Source for the ADescription and Sampling of Contaminated Soils: Field Pocket Guide.@ <http://www.epa.gov/ncepi/Catalog/EPA6251291002.html> (accessed June 2001).
498. American Society for Testing and Materials. ASTM Standards on Environmental Sampling. 2nd ed. West Conshohocken (PA): ASTM, 1997.
499. Gy P. Sampling for Analytical Purposes. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
500. Quevauviller P, editor. Quality Assurance in Environmental Monitoring: Sampling and Sample Pretreatment. Weinheim: VCH, 1995.
501. Gilbert RO. Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1987.
502. Ott WR. Environmental Statistics and Data Analysis. Boca Raton (FL): Lewis Publishers, 1995.
503. Piegorsch WW, Smith EP, Edwards D, Smith RL. Statistical Advances in Environmental Science. Statistical Science 1998;13:186-208.
504. Gemperline MC. Composite and Discrete Sampling as Redundant Efforts. Environmental Testing & Analysis 1999 November/December;8(6):8-18.
505. USEPA Guidance for Data Quality Assessment: Practical Methods for Data Analysis. <http://www.epa.gov/reg3wcmd/ca/pdf/g9-final.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
506. Guide to Sampling Survey Designs. <http://www.asq-eed.org/publications/guidessd.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
507. Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants. The Code of Federal Regulations, Part 136, Title 40, 2000.
508. International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations Manual <http://www.iataonline.com:80/shop/product.asp?sku=9065%2D42&dept%5Fd=6> (accessed June 2001).
509. 49 CFR Transportation. Other Regulations Relating to Transportation. http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/49cfrv2_00.html (accessed June 2001).
510. ASTM D4840-99. Annual books of ASTM Standards. 2000, Section 11, Volume 11.01.

ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE

511. Devaney EE, Lee J, Topper M. The Next Step in Environmental Investigations. Environmental Protection 1998 September;9(9):42-43, 59.
512. Casey E. Digital Evidence and Computer Crime: Forensic Science, Computers and the Internet. San Diego: Academic Press, 2000.

513. United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Computer Crime and Intellectual Property Section. Searching and Seizing Computers and Obtaining Electronic Evidence in Criminal Investigations. <http://www.cybercrime.gov/searching.html> (accessed June 2001), contains both a WordPerfect and a PDF version of the document.
514. Stephenson P. Investigating Computer Related Crime. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press, 1999.
515. Wilding E. Computer Evidence: A Forensic Investigations Handbook. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 1997.
516. Sammes AJ, Jenkinson BL. Forensic Computing: A Practitioner=s Guide. London: Springer-Verlag, 2000.

METHODS AND METHOD SELECTION

517. Wood R. How to Validate Analytical Methods. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 1999;18:624-632.
518. Dux JP. Handbook of Quality Assurance for the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory. 2nd ed. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1990.
519. Funk W, Damman V, Donnevert G. Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry. Weinheim: VCH Publishers, 1995.
520. Subramanian G, editor. Quality Assurance in Environmental Monitoring: Instrumental Methods. Weinheim: VCH Publishers, 1995.
521. Hopke P. Quality Assurance, Quality Control, and Data Validation in Environmental Analysis of Airborne Particles. In: Landsberger S, Creatchman M, editors. Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1999;235-254.
522. Biegalski SR. Quality Assurance and Quality Control in the Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles. In: Landsberger S, Creatchman M, editors. Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1999;255-271.
523. Berger W, McCarty H, Smith R-K. Environmental Laboratory Data Evaluation. Douglasville (GA): Apichemical Consultants, 1996.
524. EurachemBA Focus for Analytical Chemistry in Europe. <http://www.eurachem.bam.de/index.htm> (accessed June 2001).
525. SW-846 Manual. <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/sw846.htm> (accessed June 2001), links to print copy and to CD-ROM.
526. USEPA Drinking Water Methods for Chemical Parameters. <http://www.epa.gov/OGWDW/methods/epachem.html> (accessed June 2001).
527. Analytical Methods Developed by the Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water. <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/methods/sourcalt.html> (accessed June 2001).
528. Analytical Methods Collection on CD-ROM. Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water. <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/methods/cdrom.html> (accessed June 2001)

529. American Public Health Association, 1999 Publications Catalog, Standard Methods References. <http://www.apha.org/media/standard.htm> (accessed June 2001).
530. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Technical Standards for Industry Worldwide. <http://www.astm.org/> (accessed June 2001).
531. Additional Drinking Water Methods (non-USEPA) for Chemical Parameters. <http://www.epa.gov/OGWDW/methods/indchem.html> (accessed June 2001).
532. Sources of Analytical Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/OGWDW/methods/source.html> (accessed June 2001).
533. USEPA Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Asbestos Laws and Regulations. <http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/asbestos/asbreg.htm> (accessed June 2001).
534. What has USEPA done about ozone depletion? <HTTP://www.epa.gov/ozone/geninfo/actions.html> (accessed June 2001).
535. USEPA Emissions Measurement Center, Test Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/tmethods.html> (accessed June 2001).
536. Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/> (accessed June 2001).
537. USEPA Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air B Second Edition. <http://www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/tocomp99.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
538. AMTIC Air Toxics Page, Air Toxic Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/airtox.html> (accessed June 2001).
539. USEPA About the Office of Pesticide Programs. <http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/about.htm> (accessed June 2001).
540. USEPA Office of Pesticide Programs, Index of Environmental Chemistry Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/oppbead1/methods/ecm1a.htm> (accessed June 2001).
541. USEPA Office of Pesticide Programs, Index of Residue Analytical Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/oppbead1/methods/ram12b.htm> (accessed June 2001).
542. CFR Title 40: Protection of the Environment, Chapter I B Environmental Protection Agency. <http://www.epa.gov/docs/epacfr40/chapt-I.info/> (accessed June 2001).
543. US National Archives and Records Administration, The Code of Federal Regulations. Title 40, Protection of the Environment. <http://www.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/cfrassemble.cgi?title=199940> (accessed June 2001).
544. Index to USEPA Test Methods, May 2000 revised edition. <http://www.epa.gov/region01/oarm/testmeth.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
545. Key to Obtaining Sources of USEPA Test Methods. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/index/key.htm> (accessed June 2001).
546. Keith LH, editor. Compilation of E.P.A.=s Sampling and Analysis Methods. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press, 1996.

547. Northeast Analytical, Inc., editor. Guide to Environmental Analytical Methods. 4th ed. Schenectady (NY): Genium Publishing Corporation, 1998.
548. Environment Canada Environmental protection, Selected Publications Summer 2001. <http://www3.ec.gc.ca/EPSPubs/default.cfm> (accessed June 2001).
549. Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid & Milieu (RIVM) or National Institute of Public Health and the Environment Home Page. http://www.rivm.nl/index_en.html (accessed June 2001).
550. Standards Australia Home Page. <http://www.standards.com.au/> (accessed June 2001).
551. Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales. <http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/air/amsaap/> (accessed June 2001).
552. Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Water Pollutants in New South Wales. <http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/download/amsa-water.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
553. British Standards Online Home Page. <http://bsonline.techindex.co.uk/> (accessed June 2001).

INSTRUMENTATION AND TECHNIQUES

554. ACS Publications: Analytical Chemistry Home Page. <http://pubs.acs.org/journals/ancham/index.html> (accessed June 2001).
555. Clement RE, Yang PW, Koester CJ. Environmental Analysis. Analytical Chemistry 2001;73:2761-2790.
556. Clement RE, Yang PW, Koester CJ. Environmental Analysis. Analytical Chemistry 1999;71:257R-292R.
557. Clement RE, Yang PW, Koester CJ. Environmental Analysis. Analytical Chemistry 1997;69:251R-287R.
558. Lopez-Avila V. Trends in Environmental Analysis. Journal of AOAC International 1999;82:217-222.
559. Lopez-Avila V. Sample Preparation for Environmental Analysis. Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry 1999;29:195-230.
560. Ramsey CA, Suggs J. Improving Laboratory Performance through Scientific Subsampling Techniques. Environmental Testing & Analysis 2001 March/April;10(2):13-16.
561. Dean JR, Xiong G. Extraction of Organic Pollutants from Environmental Matrices: Selection of Extraction Technique. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 2000;19:553-564.
562. Namie nik J, Wardencki W. Solventless Sample Preparation Techniques in Environmental Analysis. Journal of High Resolution Chromatography 2000;23:297-303.
563. Hawthorne SB, Grabanski CB, Martin E, Miller DJ. Comparisons of Soxhlet Extraction, Pressurized Liquid Extraction, Supercritical Fluid Extraction and Subcritical Water Extraction for Environmental Solids: Recovery, Selectivity and Effects on Sample Matrix. Journal of Chromatography A 2000;892:421-433.

564. Quevauviller P, Morabito R. Evaluation of Extraction Recoveries for Organometallic Determinations in Environmental Matrices. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;19:86-96.
565. Helmig D. Air Analysis by Gas Chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;843:129-146.
566. Fox DL. Air Pollution. *Analytical Chemistry* 1999;71:109R-119R.
567. Aragón P, Atienza J, Climent MD. Analysis of Organic Compounds in Air: A Review. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;30:121-151.
568. Harper M. Sorbent Trapping of Volatile Organic Compounds from Air. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;885:129-151.
569. Cleaver KD. The Analysis of Process Gases: A Review. *Accreditation and Quality Assurance* 2001;6:8-15.
570. Richardson SD. Water Analysis. *Analytical Chemistry* 2001;73:2719-2734.
571. Bruzzoniti MC, Sarzanini C, Mentasti E. Preconcentration of Contaminants in Water Analysis. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;902:289-309.
572. Cave MR, Butler O, Chenery SRN, Cook JM, Cresser MS, Miles DL. Atomic Spectrometry Update. *Environmental Analysis. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 2001;16:194-235.
573. Hill SJ, Chenery S, Dawson JB, Evans EH, Fisher A, Price WJ, et al. Advances in Atomic Emission, Absorption and Fluorescence Spectrometry, and Related Techniques. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 2000;15:763-805
574. Cave MR, Butler O, Cook JM, Cresser MS, Garden LM, Miles DL. *Environmental Analysis. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 2000;15:181-235.
575. Grohse PM. Trace Element Analysis of Airborne Particles by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy, and Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry. In: Landsberger S, Creatchman M, editors. *Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles*. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1999;1-65.
576. Jackson KW. Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometry and Related Techniques. *Analytical Chemistry* 2000;72:159R-167R.
577. Dean JR, Butler O, Fisher A, Garden LM, Cresser MS, Watkins P, et al. Atomic Spectrometry Update. *Environmental Analysis. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1998;13:1R-56R.
578. Hill SJ, Dawson JB, Price WJ, Shuttler IL, Smith CMM, Tyson JF. Atomic Spectrometry Update. *Advances in Atomic Absorption and Fluorescence Spectrometry and Related Techniques. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1998;13:131R-170R.
579. Sturgeon RE. Future of Atomic Spectrometry for Environmental Analysis. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1998;13:351-361.
580. Jackson KW, Lu S. Atomic Absorption, Atomic Emission, and Flame Emission Spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:363R-383R.
581. Valsecchi SM, Polesello S. Analysis of Inorganic Species in Environmental Samples by Capillary Electrophoresis. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;834:363-385.

582. Sovocool GW, Brumley WC, Donnelly JR. Capillary Electrophoresis and Capillary Electrochromatography of Organic Pollutants. *Electrophoresis* 1999;20:3297-3310.
583. Timerbaev AR, Dabek-Zlotorzynska E, van den Hoop MAGT. Inorganic Environmental Analysis by Capillary Electrophoresis. *Analyst* (Cambridge, U.K.) 1999;124:811-826.
584. Fukushi K, Takeda S, Chayama K, Wakida S-I. Application of Capillary Electrophoresis to the Analysis of Inorganic Ions in Environmental Samples. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;834:349-362.
585. Song L, Xu Z, Kang J, Cheng J. Analysis of Environmental Pollutants by Capillary Electrophoresis with Emphasis on Micellar Electrokinetic Chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1997;780:297-328.
586. Beale SC. Capillary Electrophoresis. *Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:279R-300R.
587. Dabek-Zlotorzynska E. Capillary Electrophoresis in the Determination of Pollutants. *Electrophoresis* 1997;18:2453-2464.
588. Timerbaev AR. Analysis of Inorganic Pollutants by Capillary Electrophoresis. *Electrophoresis* 1997;18:185-195.
589. Kaniansky D, Masár M, Marák J, Bodor R. Capillary Electrophoresis of Inorganic Anions. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;834:133-178.
590. Eiceman GA, Hill HH Jr, Gardea-Torresdey J. *Gas Chromatography. Analytical Chemistry* 2000;72:137R-144R.
591. Eiceman GA, Hill HH Jr, Gardea-Torresdey J. *Gas Chromatography. Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:321R-339R.
592. Ragunathan N, Krock KA, Klawun C, Sasaki TA, Wilkins CL. Gas Chromatography with Spectroscopic Detectors. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;856:349-397.
593. van der Hoff GR, van Zoonen P. Trace Analysis of Pesticides by Gas Chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;843:301-322.
594. Majors RE. An Overview of Sample Introduction and Sample Preparation of Volatile Organic Compounds. *LC/GC Magazine* 1999 September;17(9S):S7-S13.
595. Wang J-L, Chen W-L, Lin Y-H, Tsai C-H. Cryogen Free Automated Gas Chromatography for the Measurement of Ambient Volatile Organic Compounds. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;896:31-39.
596. Hardas NR, Uden PC. Empirical Formulae Studies of Chlorofluorocarbons using Gas Chromatography Coupled to Atomic Emission Detection. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;844:271-281.
597. Brettell TA, Saferstein R. *Forensic Science. Analytical Chemistry* 1997;69:123R-143R.
598. LaCourse WR. *Column Liquid Chromatography: Equipment and Instrumentation. Analytical Chemistry* 2000;72:37R-51R.
599. LaCourse WR, Dasenbrock CO. *Column Liquid Chromatography: Equipment and Instrumentation. Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:37R-52R.

600. Dorsey JG, Cooper WT, Siles BA, Foley JP, Barth HG. **Liquid Chromatography: Theory and Methodology.** *Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:591R-644R.
601. Gennaro MC, Angelino S. **Separation and Determination of Inorganic Anions by Reversed-Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography** 1997;789:181-194.
602. Matteo VD, Esposito E. **Methods for the Determination of Nitrite by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Electrochemical Detection.** *Journal of Chromatography A* 1997;789:213-219.
603. Buszewski B, Ligor M, Ligor T, Tanaka N. **Determination of Hazardous Compounds Isolated from Environmental Samples Utilizing Various Stationary Phases and Columns for Chromatographic Techniques.** *Chemia Analityczna (Warsaw)* 1999;44:327-349.
604. López-Ruiz B. **Advances in the Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography.** *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;881:607-627.
605. Haddad PR, Doble P, Macka M. **Developments in Sample Preparation and Separation Techniques for the Determination of Inorganic Ions by Ion Chromatography and Capillary Electrophoresis.** *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;856:145-177.
606. Chow JC, Watson JG. **Ion Chromatography in Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles.** In: Landsberger S, Creatchman M, editors. *Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles.* Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1999;97-137.
607. Soltanpour PN, Johnson GW, Workman SM, Jones JB Jr, Miller RO. **Advances in ICP Emission and ICP Mass Spectrometry.** In: Sparks DL, editor. *Advances in Agronomy, Volume 64.* New York: Academic Press, 1998;27-113.
608. Thomas GP. **Inductively Coupled Plasma Techniques for Environmental Analysis.** *American Environmental Laboratory* 1997 March:28-30.
609. Donais MK. **How to Interface a Liquid Chromatograph to an Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer for Elemental Speciation Studies.** *Spectroscopy* 1998;13(9):30-35.
610. Gillie JK, Hochlowski J, Arbuckle-Keil GA. **Infrared Spectroscopy.** *Analytical Chemistry* 2000;72:71R-79R.
611. McKelvy ML, Britt TR, Davis BL, Gillie JK, Graves FB, Lentz LA. **Infrared Spectroscopy.** *Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:119R-177R.
612. Niessen WMA. **State-of-the-Art in Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry.** *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;856:179-197.
613. Hogendoorn E, van Zoonen P. **Recent and Future Developments of Liquid Chromatography in Pesticide Trace Analysis.** *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;892:435-453.
614. D'Ascenzo G, Curini R, Gentili A, Bruno F, Marchese S, Perret D. **Determination of Herbicides in Water Using HPLC-MS Techniques.** In: Brown PR, Grushka E, editors. *Advances in Chromatography, Volume 40.* New York: Marcel Dekker, 2000;567-598.

615. Kienhuis PGM, Geerdink RB. Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Surface and Waste Water with Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionisation: II. Applications. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;19:460-474.
616. Camel V. Microwave-Assisted Solvent Extraction of Environmental Samples. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;19:229-248.
617. Eskilsson CS, Björklund E. Analytical-Scale Microwave-Assisted Extraction. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;902:227-250.
618. Punt MM, Raghavan GSV, Bélanger JMR, Paré JRJ. Microwave-Assisted Process (MAP) for the Extraction of Contaminants from Soil. *Journal of Soil Contamination* 1999;8:577-592.
619. Bacon JR, Crain JS, Van Vaeck L, Williams JG. Atomic Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 2000;15:1025-1053.
620. Bacon JR, Crain JS, Van Vaeck L, Williams JG. Atomic Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1999;14:1633-1659.
621. Bacon JR, Crain JS, Van Vaeck L, Williams JG. Atomic Spectrometry Update. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1998;13:171R-208R.
622. Björklund E, Nilsson T, Bøwadt S. Pressurised Liquid Extraction of Persistent Organic Pollutants in Environmental Analysis. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;19:434-445.
623. Lundstedt S, van Bavel B, Haglund P, Tysklind M, Öberg L. Pressurised Liquid Extraction of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from Contaminated Soils. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;883:151-162.
624. Björklund E, Bøwadt S, Nilsson T, Mathiasson L. Pressurized Fluid Extraction of Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Solid Environmental Samples. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;836:285-293.
625. Richter BE. Extraction of Hydrocarbon Contamination from Soils using Accelerated Solvent Extraction. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;874:217-224.
626. Abrha Y, Raghavan D. Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) recovery from Spiked Organic Matrix using Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE) and Soxhlet Extraction. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2000;80:147-157.
627. Smith RM. Supercritical Fluids in Separation Science B The Dreams, the Reality and the Future. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;856:83-115.
628. Luque de Castro MD, Jiménez-Carmona MM. Where is Supercritical Fluid Extraction Going? *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;19:223-228.
629. Martínez D, Cugat MJ, Borrull F, Calull M. Solid-Phase Extraction Coupling to Capillary Electrophoresis with Emphasis on Environmental Analysis. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;902:65-89.
630. Hennion M-C. Solid-Phase Extraction: Method Development, Sorbents, and Coupling with Liquid Chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1999;856:3-54.
631. Pichon V. Solid-Phase Extraction for Multiresidue Analysis of Organic Contaminants in Water. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;885:195-215.

632. Liška I. Fifty Years of Solid-Phase Extraction in Water Analysis B Historical Development and Overview. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;885:3-16.
633. Pyrzyńska K, Trojanowicz M. Functionalized Cellulose Sorbents for Preconcentration of Trace Metals in Environmental Analysis. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry* 1999;29:313-321.
634. Huck CW, Bonn GK. Recent Developments in Polymer-Based Sorbents for Solid-Phase Extraction. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;885:51-72.
635. Thurman EM, Snavely K. Advances in Solid-Phase Extraction Disks for Environmental Chemistry. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2000;19:18-26.
636. Lord H, Pawliszyn J. Evolution of Solid-Phase Microextraction Technology. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2000;885:153-193.
637. Zygmunt B, Jastrzbska A, Namienik J. Solid Phase Microextraction B A Convenient Tool for the Determination of Organic Pollutants in Environmental Matrices. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry* 2001;31:1-18.
638. Mester Z, Sturgeon R, Pawliszyn J. Solid Phase Microextraction as a Tool for Trace Element Speciation. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B* 2001;56:233-260.
639. Howell JA, Sutton RE. Ultraviolet and Absorption Light Spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:107R-118R.
640. Potts PJ, Ellis AT, Holmes M, Kregsamer P, Strelcić C, West M, et al. X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 2000;15:1417-1442.
641. Watson JG, Chow JC, Frazier CA. X-ray Fluorescence Analysis of Ambient Air Samples. In: Landsberger S, Creatchman M, editors. *Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles*. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1999;67-96.
642. Potts PJ, Ellis AT, Kregsamer P, Strelcić C, West M, Wobrauschek P. X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1999;14:1773-1799.
643. Szalóki I, Török SB, Ro C-U, Injuk J, Van Grieken RE. X-ray Spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 2000;72:211R-233R.
644. Ellis AT, Holmes M, Kregsamer P, Potts PJ, Strelcić C, West M, et al. Atomic Spectrometry Update BX-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 1998;13:209R-232R.
645. Török SB, Lábár J, Schmeling M, Van Grieken RE. X-ray Spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 1998;70:495R-517R.
646. Dean JR. *Extraction Methods for Environmental Analysis*. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 1998.
647. Barceló D, editor. *Sample Handling and Trace Analysis of Pollutants: Techniques, Applications and Quality Assurance*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 2000.

LINK ANALYSIS

648. Anacapa Sciences, Inc. <http://anacapasciences.com> (accessed June 2001).
649. i2 Visualization and Analysis Home Page. <http://www.i2inc.com> (accessed June 2001).

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND THE COURTROOM

650. FindLaw for Legal Professionals.
<http://guide.lp.findlaw.com/casecode/index.html> (accessed June 2001), search **ADaubert**@ under US Supreme Court, select **ADaubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U. S. 579 (1993).**@
651. **AUsing Field Methods B Experiences and Lessons: Defensibility of Field Data.**@ <http://clu-in.org/download/char/legalpap.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
652. US Environmental Protection Agency. Idaho Man Receives 17-Year Prison Sentence for Severe Environmental Crimes. Press release, May 5, 2000.
653. US Environmental Protection Agency. South Dakota Slaughterhouse Company Fined \$2 Million. Press release, May 31, 1996.
654. US Environmental Protection Agency. Two Company Executives Sentenced for Environmental Crimes. Press release, November 8, 1996.
655. Brown JJ, editor. Scientific Evidence and Experts Handbook. Gaithersburg (MD): Aspen Law & Business, 1999.
656. Brown JJ, editor. Scientific Evidence and Experts Handbook: 2000 Supplement. Gaithersburg (MD): Aspen Law & Business, 2000.
657. Stroup RL, Meiners RE, editors. Cutting Green Tape: Toxic Pollutants, Environmental Regulation and the Law. New Brunswick (NJ): Transaction Publishers, 2000.
658. Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence, 2nd ed.
<http://air.fjc.gov/public/fjcweb.nsf/pages/16> (accessed June 2001).
659. Court Appointed Scientific Experts (CASE) Mainpage.
<http://www.aaas.org/spp/case/case.htm> (accessed June 2001).
660. Kaiser J. Project Offers Judges Neutral Science Advice. Science 1999;284:1600.

LABORATORY FRAUD

661. California Military Environmental Coordination Committee, Chemical Data Quality/Cost Reduction Process Action Team. **ABest Practices for the Detection and Deterrence of Laboratory Fraud.**@
<http://www.epa.gov/Region9/qa/labfraud.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
662. Office of Criminal Enforcement, Forensics, and Training Laboratory Fraud Work Group. US Environmental Protection Agency. unpublished work, 2001.
663. Worthington JC, Brilis GM. Reconciliation of Non-Authentic Analytical Data. Presented at the USEPA 18th Annual National Conference on Managing Quality Systems for Environmental Programs, Cincinnati, Ohio 1999.
664. Simmons B. **APreventing and Detecting Lab Fraud.**@ In: Groundwater Resources Association of California. <http://www.grac.org/> (accessed June 2001), select **AHydroVisions,**@ then select **AVolume 6 Number 1 Spring 1997.**@

665. Lubbock Online Headlines, January 7, 1997, A Former Lab Exec Sentenced to Prison. @ <http://www.lubbockonline.com/news/010797/index.htm> (accessed June 2001).
666. US Environmental Protection Agency. Fraudulent Lab Report Earns Felony Conviction for Oregon Lab Owner. Press release, June 14, 1996.
667. Waldman P. Intertek Testing Unit, 3 US Managers Admit Conspiracy to Mislead EPA Probe. Wall Street Journal 2000 September 26; Sect. A:3 (col. 1), 10 (col.1).
668. Nicodemus C. Criminal Probe of EPA Lab. Chicago Sun-Times 2000 February 15; Sect. A:1-2.
669. Nicodemus C. USEPA Probes. Chicago Sun-Times 2000 February 13; Sect. A:4.
670. Keoun B. Regional EPA Lab Closed as Testing Probed. Chicago Tribune 2000 February 13; Sect. C:2.
671. USEPA Internal Memorandum, Laboratory Fraud: Deterrence and Detection. <http://www.epa.gov/oigearth/labfraud.htm> (accessed June 2001)
672. Martin G. Toxic Sleuth; S. F. Man Nails Labs that Cheat on Superfund Testing Analyses. San Francisco Chronicle 2000 December 23; Sect. A:24.

CHEMICAL FINGERPRINTING

673. Morrison RD. Critical Review of Environmental Forensic Techniques: Part II. Environmental Forensics 2000;1:175-195.
674. Morrison RD. Forensic Techniques for Establishing the Origin and Timing of Contaminant Release. Environmental Claims Journal 1997 Winter;9(2):105-122.
675. Morrison RD. Environmental Forensics: Principles & Applications. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press, 2000.
676. Bruya JE. Chemical Fingerprinting. In: Sullivan PJ, Agardy FJ, Traub RK. Practical Environmental Forensics: Process and Case Histories. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2001;151-211.
677. Hurst RW, Davis TE, Chinn BD. The Lead Fingerprints of Gasoline Contamination. Environmental Science & Technology 1996;30:304A-307A.
678. Farmer JG, Eades LJ, Mackenzie AB, Kirika A, Bailey-Watts TE. Stable Lead Isotope Record of Lead Pollution in Loch Lomond Sediments Since 1630 A.D. Environmental Science & Technology 1996;30:3080-3083.
679. Gulson BL, Tiller KG, Mizon KJ, Merry RH. Use of Lead Isotopes in Soils to Identify the Source of Lead Contamination Near Adelaide, South Australia. Environmental Science & Technology 1981;15:691-696.
680. Dunlap CE, Bouse R, Flegal AR. Past Leaded Gasoline Emissions as a Nonpoint Source Tracer in Riparian Systems: A Study of River Inputs to San Francisco Bay. Environmental Science & Technology 2000;34:1211-1215.
681. Monna F, Aiuppa A, Varrica D, Dongarra G. Pb Isotope Composition in Lichens and Aerosols from Eastern Sicily: Insights into the Regional Impact

- of Volcanos on the Environment. *Environmental Science & Technology* 1999;33:2517-2523.
682. Rauch S, Morrison GM, Motelica-Heino M, Donard OFX, Muris M. Elemental Association and Fingerprinting of Traffic-Related Metals in Road Sediments. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2000;34:3119-3123.
683. Linton RW, Natusch DFS, Solomon RL, Evans CA Jr. Physicochemical Characterization of Lead in Urban Dust. A Microanalytical Approach to Lead Tracing. *Environmental Science & Technology* 1980;14:159-164.
684. Hopke PK. An Introduction to Source Receptor Modeling. In: Landsberger S, Creatchman M, editors. *Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles*. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach, 1999;273-315.

ACCREDITATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL FORENSIC LABS

685. Inspector General Expected to Criticize FBI Laboratory. *Las Vegas Review-Journal* 1997 April 1; Sect. A:7.
686. US House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Crime, Committee on the Judiciary. *The Activities of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (Part I)*. <http://commdocs.house.gov/committees/judiciary/> (accessed June 2001), select Ahju50136.000" for transcript.
687. US Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General Special Report. *The FBI Laboratory One Year Later: A Follow-Up to the Inspector General's April 1997 Report on FBI Laboratory Practices and Alleged Misconduct in Explosives-Related and Other Cases*. <http://www.usdoj.gov/oig/fbil1yr.htm> (accessed June 2001).
688. Kerr DM. Preserving Accountability in the FBI Laboratory [Letter to the Editor]. *The Washington Times* 1998 August 17; Sect. A;18.
689. CBS News, 60 Minutes II, AUnder the Microscope.@ <http://cbsnews.com/now/story/0,1597,290046-412,00.shtml> (accessed June 2001).
690. US Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Press Room. FBI's Laboratory Accreditation. <http://www.fbi.gov/pressrel/pressrel98/labaccr.htm> (accessed June 2001).
691. Enforcement Agency Earns Formal Accreditation. *Environmental Laboratory Washington Report* 2001 March 15;11.
692. California State Auditor/Bureau of State Audits, Summary of Report Number 97025. <http://www.bsa.ca.gov/bsa/summaries/97025sum.html> (accessed June 2001).
693. National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) Home Page. <http://ts.nist.gov/ts/htdocs/210/214/214.htm> (accessed June 2001).
694. NVLAP Accreditation of NEIC Bulk Asbestos Analysis by PLM. <http://ts.nist.gov/ts/htdocs/210/214/scopes/1017030.htm> (accessed June 2001)
695. National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) Accrediting Authorities. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac/nelapacc.html> (accessed June 2001).

696. American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Home Page. <http://www.a2la.org/> (accessed June 2001).
697. American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors (ASCLD) Home Page. <http://www.asclcd.org/> (accessed June 2001).
698. National Forensic Science Technology Center (NFSTC) Home Page. <http://www.nfstc.org/> (accessed June 2001).
699. Office.com: ASCLD Accreditation Indispensable for Forensic Labs. <http://www.office.com/global/0,2724,143-18125,FF.html> (accessed June 2001).
700. Rosecrance A. On Track to Quality in the Lab: The Role of an Ethics Program and Data Quality Review Procedure. *Environmental Testing & Analysis* 1999 September/October;8(5):26-37.
701. Technical Program for 19th Annual National Conference on Managing Environmental Quality Systems, EPA Initiatives in Quality Management: Quality and Environmental Information. <http://www.epa.gov/quality/qs-docs/19qa-papers.pdf> (accessed June 2001).
702. Brilis GM, Worthington JC, Wait AD. Quality Science in the Courtroom: U.S. EPA Data Quality and Peer Review Policies and Procedures Compared to the *Daubert* Factors. *Environmental Forensics* 2000;1:197-203.
703. Günzler H. Accreditation and Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1996.
704. NELAC Home Page. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac/> (accessed June 2001).

APPENDIX

TERMINOLOGY AND PUBLICATIONS

Several lists of environmental and environmental forensics terms and abbreviations exist. Details about USEPA publication codes and sources for publications are also available to help the investigator.

- 4# Morrison=s book Environmental Forensics: A Glossary of Terms has a very long list of acronyms and abbreviations as a chapter before the section on environmental forensics terms.
- 4# Morrison RD. Environmental Forensics: A Glossary of Terms. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press, 1999.
- 4# USEPA Terminology Reference System. <http://www.epa.gov/eimd/trs/production/> (accessed June 2001). The Terminology Reference System is a collection of environmental terms used by the USEPA. Terms and the definitions can be found by browsing or by performing a keyword search.
- 4# USEPA National Service Center for Environmental Publications B Understanding AEP@ Speak.@ <http://www.epa.gov/ncepihom/epaterm.htm> (accessed June 2001). Understanding AEP@ Speak@ has a section on ATerms of the Environment@ that has a browse option for terms and abbreviations and acronyms.
- 4# NTIS Web Site Home Page. <http://www.ntis.gov/> (accessed June 2001). The US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service is a centralized source for scientific, technical and business related government publications.
- 4# US Government Printing Office. <http://www.gpo.gov/> (accessed June 2001). The US GPO is another publications source for the United States federal community.
- 4# USEPA National Service Center for Environmental Publications B NSCEP, USEPA Publication Numbering System. <http://www.epa.gov/ncepihom/nscep-codes.htm> (accessed June 2001). The codes used to refer to USEPA reports and publications are deciphered at the National Service Center for Environmental Publications with the USEPA Publication Numbering System. A table to convert code numbers and alpha descriptors is provided.
- 4# Information Sources B USEPA Publications. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/publications.htm> (accessed June 2001). A list of sources for USEPA publications can be found at the USEPA Publications Internet site. It has a link to an Internet site with more information on searching for or purchasing documents.

SUPPLEMENTAL INTERNET SITES

General

- 4# **European Union Policies B Environment.**
http://europa.eu.int/pol/env/index_en.htm (accessed June 2001). Site contains an index to information from the European Union on environmental issues.
- 4# **Environmental Agencies of the World.**
<http://www.worldbankgroup.org/nipr/epas.htm> (accessed June 2001). Lists of the agencies in most countries primarily responsible for environmental issues at the national level are available here.
- 4# **NIPR: New Ideas in Pollution Regulation Home Page.**
<http://www.worldbankgroup.org/nipr/> (accessed June 2001). Information for researchers, government officials, and citizens interested in understanding and improving control of industrial pollution, especially in developing countries. The site focuses on materials produced by the World Bank's Economics of Industrial Pollution Control Research Project.
- 4# **The Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe.**
<http://www.rec.org/> (accessed June 2001). This is a non-advocacy, non-profit organization that assists in solving environmental problems in Central and Eastern Europe (CEE).
- 4# **Environment Canada B CEPA Environmental Registry.**
<http://www.ec.gc.ca/ceparegistry/default.cfm> (accessed June 2001). The CEPA Environmental Registry is a comprehensive source of public information relating to activities under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999.
- 4# **Joint Research Centre.** <http://www.jrc.org/> (accessed June 2001). Created by the European Commission, all eight institutes of the Joint Research Centre (JRC) are listed on this site. The Environment Institute (EI) conducts research in support of EU policies for the protection of the environment and the citizen.
- 4# **The Air Pollution Exchange B News.**
<http://www.uea.ac.uk/~e044/apex/news.html> (accessed June 2001). New Directions appears in the international journal Atmospheric Environment as an invited or contributed column reporting on all aspects of the atmospheric sciences. The articles are written in a popular style by experts in their field. A panel of members from the journal's editorial board reviews the articles. The columns are also featured in Atmospheric Environment journal.

Legal and Regulatory

- 4# **EHS Internet Library B Foreign Governments.**
<http://www.safetymgmt.com/Foreign.htm> (accessed June 2001). Links to foreign government sites and their environmental, health and safety regulatory information services.

- 4# Monash University Law Library B Subject Guides, Environmental Law. <http://www.lib.monash.edu.au/law/oldsubject.htm#Environ> (accessed June 2001). Developed by the Monash University Law Library of Australia, this site includes a variety of links pertinent to Environmental law. It is international in scope.

Gathering Information

- 4# ATSDR B HazDat Database. <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/hazdat.html> (accessed June 2001). HazDat, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry's Hazardous Substance Release/Health Effects Database, is the scientific and administrative database developed to provide access to information on the release of hazardous substances from Superfund sites or from emergency events and on the effects of hazardous substances on the health of human populations.

Methods and Method Selection

- 4# USEPA Information Sources B Environmental Test Methods and Guidelines. <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/standards.html> (accessed June 2001). Environmental test methods and guidelines from the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- 4# USEPA New England Library. <http://www.epa.gov/region01/oarm/links.html> (accessed June 2001). More links to sources of United States Environmental Protection Agency test methods on the Internet.
- 4# SamplePrep Web at Duquesne University. <http://www.sampleprep.duq.edu> (accessed June 2001). This Internet site on sample preparation was developed by Duquesne University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. It contains information and advice regarding analytical sample preparation, speciated analysis, trace analysis and microwave chemistry.

Reference Materials

- 4# NIST SRM Catalog B Welcome Page. <http://ois.nist.gov/srmcatalog/> (accessed June 2001). This Internet site from the United States National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) provides technical and ordering information for Standard Reference Materials (SRMs) and Reference Materials (RMs) that are currently available through the NIST Standard Reference Material program.
- 4# NRC B Certified Reference Materials (CRMs)/Standard Reference Materials (SRMs). <http://www.ems.nrc.ca/ems1.htm> (accessed June 2001). Reference materials from the Institute for National Measurement Standards of the National Research Council of Canada.

4# Reference Materials B Particle & Surface Sciences.

http://www.pss.aus.net/products/ref_mat.html (accessed June 2001). Laboratory of the Government Chemist (LGC), is a UK organization which acts as a central supply for Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) from around the world. This site provides an Internet-based search for CRMs.

Computer Forensics

4# United States Department of Defense, Computer Forensics Laboratory.

<http://www.dcf.gov/> (accessed June 2001).

4# US Department of Justice, Cybercrime. <http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/cybercrime> (accessed June 2001).

- 4# Computer Forensics Tool Testing Project Web Site. <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/> (accessed June 2001). This project is supported by the US Department of Justice's National Institute of Justice (NIJ), federal, state, and local law enforcement, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to promote efficient and effective use of computer technology in the investigation of crimes involving computers.
- 4# Computer High Tech Crime and Related Sites. <http://members.aol.com/crimejust/hightech.html> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Computer and Telecommunication Crime. <http://www.rcmp-grc.gc.ca/html/cpu-cri.htm> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# American Society for Industrial Security (ASIS). <http://www.asisonline.com> (accessed June 2001).

Many companies are now providing computer forensic work. Some work for private corporations and some are under contract to law enforcement agencies. A few of these companies are listed below.

- 4# New Technologies, Inc. <http://www.forensics-intl.com> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Computer Security Institute. <http://www.gocsi.com/> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Computer Forensics, Ltd. <http://www.computer-forensics.com/> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Computer Forensics, Inc. <http://www.forensics.com> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Electronic Evidence Discovery. <http://www.eedinc.com> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Ontrack Data International. <http://www.ontrack.com> (accessed June 2001).
- 4# Vogon International Data Recovery and Forensic Computing. <http://www.vogon.co.uk> (accessed June 2001).

Accreditation

- 4# ISO 17025 (Guide 25) Home Page. <http://www.microserve.net/~iso25/> (accessed June 2001). General requirements for the competence of calibration and testing laboratories by ISO/IEC/EN 17025 (formally ISO Guide 25 & EN45001). Site includes an international listing of accreditation bodies and standards organizations with links to their Internet sites.

Table

DOWNLOADABLE USEPA METHODS ONLINE

Topic	Methods or Information
Environmental Analysis	Choosing the correct procedure: Ch. 2*
Sample Preparation	Inorganic analytes: Ch. 3.2* Organic analytes: Ch. 4.2*
Atomic Absorption (AA)	Method 7000A, Table 1 lists detection elements; 7000 Series Methods*
Gas Chromatography (GC)	Methods, analytes listed in Ch. 2, Tables 4-19* 515.3, 515.4, 556, and 556.1H 601 to 604, 606 to 612I
GC-FTIR	8410 and 8430*
Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)	Methods and analytes listed in Ch. 2, Tables 20 – 23;* Method 526;H 613, 624, 625, 1624, and 1625I
High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)	Methods and analytes listed in Ch. 2, Tables 24 – 31;* Method 532;H 605 and 610I
Infrared (IR) Spectrometer	8440*
Ion Chromatography (IC)	9056 and 9057,* 300.0, 300.1, 314.0 and 317.0H
ICP-AES	6010B, detection elements in Table 1*
ICP-MS	6020, detection elements in Table 1*
Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM)	EPA/600/M4-82-020 '
X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF)	9075*

* USEPA: SW-846 Online. <http://www.epa.gov/SW-846/main.htm> (accessed June 2001).

H Analytical Methods Developed by the Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water. <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/methods/sourcalt.html> (accessed June 2001).

I Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis.

<http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/Tools/guide/methods.html> (accessed June 2001).

' 2000 CFR Title 40, Volume 27, Chapter I, Part 763, Asbestos.

<http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/> (accessed June 2001).